

Chapter 13: International Comparisons

• Taiwan, the Jalisco region of Mexico, and the United States continue to report the highest incidence of treated ESRD (458, 421 and 363 per million population (PMP) respectively; Fig 13.2), as they have done for the past decade.

• The greatest proportionate increases in the incidence of treated ESRD over the interval from 2000/2001 to 2012/2013 (Table 13.1) were reported for Thailand (1210%), Bangladesh (629%), Russia (249%), Philippines (185%), Malaysia (176%), the Jalisco region of Mexico (122%) and the Republic of Korea (120%).

• In contrast however, incidence rates have remained relatively stable since 2000/2001 in most highincome countries, and have declined by between 2 and 11% in Denmark, Sweden, Scotland, Finland and Canada (Table 13.1).

• In 2013, diabetes mellitus accounted for >50% of incident ESRD patients in Malaysia, Singapore and the Jalisco region of Mexico, but <20% of incident ESRD patients in Norway, the Netherlands, Iceland and Romania (Fig 13.4).

• The most rapid increases in diabetes-related ESRD over the interval from 2000/2001 to 2012/2013 have occurred in Thailand, Russia, Philippines, Malaysia, the Republic of Korea, the Jalisco region of Mexico, and Uruguay

• The highest prevalence of treated ESRD in 2013 was reported for Taiwan, Japan, and the United States (3138, 2411, and 2043 PMP respectively, Fig 13.8)

• The countries that have experienced the largest absolute increases in ESRD prevalence since 2000/2001 (Table 13.3) include the Jalisco region of Mexico (from 270 to 1654 PMP), the Republic of Korea (from 585 to 1442 PMP), Chile (from 612 to 1294 PMP), Malaysia (from 338 to 1140 PMP), and Thailand (98 to 1097 PMP).

• Internationally, in-center hemodialysis remains the most common form of treatment for ESRD, and constitutes greater than 80% of dialysis provision in the majority of countries represented in this report (Fig 13.17 and Table 13.6). The highest utilization of peritoneal dialysis is found in Hong Kong (72%), the Jalisco region of Mexico (45%), Iceland (34%), New Zealand (32%), Colombia (30%), and Thailand (25%).

• In 2013, the highest rates of kidney transplantation relative to population size were reported for Croatia (59 PMP), Jalisco (58 PMP), the Netherlands (56 PMP), the United States (56 PMP), and Spain (54 PMP; Fig 13.18(a)). When expressed relative to the size of the prevalent dialysis population, the highest rates of kidney transplantation were observed in Norway (210 kidney transplants per thousand dialysis patients), Estonia (158 per thousand), the Netherlands (146 per thousand), Scotland (129 per thousand) and the United Kingdom (117 per thousand; Fig 13.18(b).

• The countries that have experienced the largest absolute increases in their rate of kidney transplantation since 2000/2001 (Table 13.7) are Croatia (from 9 to 59 PMP), the Netherlands (from 36 to 56 PMP), the Republic of Korea (from 14 to 34 PMP), Scotland (from 36 to 51 PMP), Turkey (from 6 to 38 PMP) and Uruguay (from 17 to 32 PMP).

Introduction

This chapter examines treated end-stage renal disease (ESRD) from an international perspective. The number of countries and regions represented in this Annual Data Report has increased from 54 in 2014 to 57, with the addition of Estonia, Ireland, and Switzerland to this year's chapter. This work is made possible through the substantial efforts of many individuals from all participating countries, through

collecting and contributing data for this international collaboration. We sincerely thank all of the registries and providers for their efforts, and have included a list of participants at the end of this chapter to further acknowledge their contributions.

The comparisons we present are intended to increase awareness of the international trends, similarities, and differences in key ESRD treatment measures. Data collection methods vary to some extent across countries, and therefore direct comparisons should be made with caution. We welcome any suggestions to further improve the content of this chapter for the benefit of the international community, and invite all renal registries to participate in this data collection and collaboration in the future. There are many countries not yet represented in this chapter. Therefore, efforts to increase international representation and enhance the comparisons presented will continue to be a focus of our work.

ANALYTICAL METHODS

See the ESRD Analytical Methods chapter for the data collection form and for an explanation of analytical methods used to generate the figures and tables in this chapter.

Incidence of Treated End-stage Renal Disease

In 2013, reported incidence rates of treated ESRD varied greatly across countries (see Figures 13.1 and 13.2). Taiwan, the Jalisco region of Mexico, and the United States (U.S.) reported the highest incidence of treated ESRD, at 458, 421, and 363 individuals per million population, respectively. The next highest rates, ranging from 208–308 per million population, were reported for Singapore, Japan, Malaysia, Republic of Korea, Hungary, Portugal, Thailand, Greece, Chile, and Indonesia. The lowest treated ESRD incidence rates, ranging from 45 to 96 per million population, were reported by Bangladesh, Russia, Estonia, Iran, Iceland, Ireland, Finland, Switzerland, and Scotland.



vol 2 Figure 13.1 Geographic variations in the incidence of treated ESRD, per million population, by country, 2013

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. All rates are unadjusted. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

vol 2 Figure 13.2 Incidence of treated ESRD, per million population, by country, 2013



Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. All rates are unadjusted. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

Trends in the incidence of treated ESRD, by country, are shown in Figure 13.3 and Table 13.1. Large international variations are observed. Table 13.1, shows the percent change in averaged ESRD incidence rates in 2000/2001 versus that in 2012/2013. The greatest increases in the incidence of treated ESRD were reported for Thailand (1210%), Bangladesh (629%), Russia (249%), Philippines (185%), Malaysia (176%), the Jalisco region of Mexico (122%), and the Republic of Korea (121%). In contrast, the averaged ESRD incidence in 2012/13 was 2-11% lower than that in 2000/01 in Denmark, Sweden, Scotland, Finland, and Canada. However, the trend in the treated ESRD incidence rate was relatively stable in nearly half of all countries with reported data from 2000 to 2013, ranging from an overall 5% to 30% increase in the ESRD incidence rate in 2000/01 versus that in 2012/13. The U.S. displayed one of the more stable ESRD incidence rates over this time period, with an overall 9% increase from 2000/01 to that in 2012/13, with most of this change occurring prior to 2006 with essentially no meaningful change as of 2006 in the US in ESRD incidence rates.

vol 2 Figure 13.3 Trends in the incidence of treated ESRD, per million population, by country, 2000-2013

(a) Ten countries having the highest % rise in ESRD incidence rate from 2000/01 to 2012/13, plus the U.S.



Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. All rates are unadjusted. Data for Croatia are missing from 2006-2011, indicated by the dashed line. Data for U.S. are shown for comparison purposes. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.





Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. All rates are unadjusted. Only five countries had a decrease in incidence from 2000/01-2012/13. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

vol 2 Table 13.1 Trends in the incidence of treated ESRD, per million population, by country, 2000-2013

ESRD prevalence, per million population

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Argentina		•			137.4	140.3	141.0	151.1	144.4	152.6	151.5	151.9	156.0	162.0	
Australia	91.6	98.4	96.7	99.7	96.9	112.8	117.4	113.3	120.2	112.1	106.0	112.4	113.2	110.0	17.5
Austria	132.3	137.6	135.3	140.2	160.8	153.8	159.5	154.0	149.7	150.9	139.8	141.4	143.0	141.7	5.5
Bahrain									206.1	205.4	219.5	207.5			
Bangladesh	6.1	6.2	6.4	7.5	7.1	8.3	8.3	12.9	13.1	13.4	22.8	31.5	44.9	44.7	628.5
Belgium, Dutch sp.	149.3	159.8	174.0	174.8	181.4	183.1	192.4	189.8	192.6	208.8	198.4	185.3	189.6	186.5	21.7
Belgium, French sp.		176.5	172.9	160.5	186.5	176.8	187.0	187.0	191.8	195.8	191.1	186.3	190.4	182.7	5.7
Bosnia and Herzegovina				106.2	107.5	103.9	132.6	150.8	149.3	143.3	133.1	122.6	125.4	116.0	
Brazil						177.4	184.9	140.1	145.4	98.0	146.7	174.1	171.5	181.8	
Canada	155.6	159.2	157.8	162.0	163.6	164.1	166.3	168.2	166.1	167.2	167.1	162.6	157.1	151.7	-1.9
Chile	125.8	123.0	126.8	129.9	157.4	134.5	140.5	143.8	152.8	153.1	155.9	197.2	170.1	212.6	53.8
Colombia					96.7	100.7	125.9	146.4	107.4	103.4	122.9	92.8			
Croatia		112.1	118.2	131.4	155.0	143.5							158.1	156.7	40.4
Czech Republic	150.1	162.8	159.0	167.0	166.0	174.5	185.7	184.6	181.9	180.5	197.8	171.9		194.5	24.3
Denmark	131.8	140.2	131.5	132.3	131.2	121.2	119.4	147.2	126.3	134.8	121.0	117.3	124.8	116.9	-11.1
Estonia														63.7	
Finland	95.4	91.0	94.0	95.1	97.4	96.8	86.6	93.6	95.4	84.5	85.8	85.6	83.1	89.2	-7.6
France						140.1	144.0	140.8	148.2	151.3	152.2	151.0	154.7	159.9	•
Greece	157.2	166.7	167.9	179.7	196.5	194.3	197.6	191.6	201.2	205.0	190.9	203.5	210.2	215.8	31.5
Hong Kong		130.8	128.8	128.2	141.2	145.1	148.9	147.4	148.2	138.5	151.2	157.7	165.6	164.5	26.2
Hungary									235.8	264.5	228.6	241.2	234.3	233.2	
Iceland	56.9	77.2	73.0	72.5	78.7	67.4	69.1	83.7	72.5	87.9	106.9	103.4	59.2	77.2	1.7
Indonesia										100.8	128.4	176.1	194.6	207.9	
Iran									99.2	70.2	73.7	73.5	73.6	75.2	
Ireland										107.2	81.7	90.3	92.2	88.2	
Israel	165.3	167.5	166.2	187.6	188.6	186.2	192.4	193.2	189.5	193.4	186.4	187.6	182.8	181.4	9.4
Italy											162.0				.
Jalisco (Mexico)	194.7	204.8	231.9	280.4	346.1	302.3	345.9	372.2	400.4	419.0	403.9	527.1	466.5	420.9	122.1
Japan	241.8	251.3	256.0	263.0	266.8	270.6	275.4	285.2	287.7	287.5	290.6	294.6	285.3	285.9	15.8

1

vol 2 Table 13.1 Trends in the incidence of treated ESRD , per million population, by country, 2000-2013 (continued)

ESRD prevalence, per million population

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Rep. of Korea	92.5	113.9	129.5	152.4	170.8	173.4	185.3	183.5	182.1	175.9	181.5	205.3	221.1	234.0	120.5
Malaysia	79.1	89.5	97.4	105.6	114.0	121.0	137.8	150.3	168.2	176.0	188.2	210.7	228.8	235.7	175.5
Morelos (Mexico)			•			•	•	553.2	557.2	597.1					
Netherlands	95.4	100.8	102.1	103.2	105.7	106.7	112.8	117.4	120.8	118.6	117.6	117.4	120.7	115.4	20.3
New Zealand	109.1	120.3	118.6	115.5	112.5	111.3	119.5	110.9	116.4	135.7	118.4	111.1	117.3	122.9	4.7
Norway	89.7	94.6	92.5	95.5	100.8	99.5	100.0	112.8	112.6	116.4	104.1	102.0	103.4	101.0	10.9
Oman	•								102.1	103.0	106.0	108.0	110.0	120.0	
Philippines	35.2	53.5	53.1	59.8	74.8	74.2	75.3	87.5	87.2	91.1	97.3	103.0	116.8	136.2	185.2
Poland	•								129.9	134.2	134.3	131.9	133.1	126.2	
Portugal	•								231.9	239.5	238.5	226.4	219.9	229.8	
Qatar											132.9	136.8	98.7	99.6	
Romania						94.2	74.9	89.9	96.7	120.8	137.8	140.5	150.6	144.7	
Russia	12.5	15.5	14.7	19.2	17.3	24.3	27.7		35.5	34.9	39.5	43.1	47.7	50.1	249.3
Saudi Arabia									138.2	122.5	124.0	130.2	129.2	127.3	
Scotland	109.5	103.5	110.2	121.2	115.4	125.0	116.3	113.5	106.4	105.1	99.6	96.3	100.1	95.7	-8.1
Serbia												150.5	136.1	147.3	
Singapore	203.7	214.1	210.4	203.8	222.6	241.4	240.5	267.7	248.7	229.8	242.3	277.9	285.3	307.5	41.9
Slovenia										129.9	120.1	118.4	125.4	126.2	
Spain						126.0	128.0	120.9	128.1	126.5	121.1	120.7	120.4	127.0	
Sweden	129.7	127.2	128.7	122.1	123.1	121.2	129.9	128.4	122.8	126.7	121.2	123.6	114.8	115.7	-10.3
Switzerland														93.9	
Taiwan	353.0	368.0	395.1	391.5	405.3	432.4	418.3	423.5	415.9	413.9	439.3	431.2	445.4	457.6	25.2
Thailand	10.3	23.4		78.4	122.8	110.2	139.4	158.9	100.3	123.2	146.0	227.4	221.1	220.2	1209.5
Turkey	114.8	141.1	117.9	111.6	121.4	178.7	191.8	228.9	261.1	256.7	252.2	238.0			
Ukraine													24.8		
United Kingdom [^]					99.7	110.6	114.8	112.4	112.2	111.3	108.6	110.8	110.8	112.5	.
United States	326.7	335.2	339.7	344.3	348.0	352.8	361.5	358.1	359.3	367.9	365.7	356.3	357.7	363.1	8.9
Uruguay	120.6	124.1	135.6	146.3	151.5	146.1	137.6	142.9	166.2	135.1	153.4	176.5	150.0	163.1	28

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Incidence is unadjusted. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Japan and Taiwan are dialysis only. Data for France include 15 regions in 2006, 18 regions in 2007, 20 regions in 2008, and 22 regions in 2009-2013. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. ^a % change is calculated as the percent difference between the average incidence in 2012 and 2013 and the average in 2000 and 2001. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking; . signifies data not reported.

Diabetes as Primary Cause of End-stage Renal Disease in Incident Patients

Data on the incidence of treated ESRD with a primary cause of diabetes mellitus (DM)—a key factor in the global burden of ESRD—were provided by nearly 80% of the countries participating in this report. In 2013, Singapore and Malaysia reported the highest proportions of patients with new ESRD due to DM, at 64 and 62%, respectively (Figure 13.4). Furthermore, DM was the primary cause of new ESRD for at least 40% of patients in Hong Kong, New Zealand, Republic of Korea, Israel, Oman, Taiwan, the Philippines, Japan, United States, Chile, Brazil, and Kuwait. In contrast, DM was the primary cause of ESRD for ≤20% of new ESRD patients in Estonia, Belgium (Dutch-speaking), Norway, Netherlands, Iceland, and Romania in 2013.

Twenty-one countries have provided rates of ESRD due to DM for the entire time period from 2000 to 2013. These data indicate an overall rise in the rate of treated ESRD due to DM in most, but not all, countries (Table 13.2) However, in some countries the increase in treated ESRD incidence due to DM has been especially large (Figure 13.5), such as in the Jalisco region of Mexico, the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Russia, and Uruguay. In these countries, rates have more than doubled between 2000 and 2013. Among the countries shown, the Jalisco region of Mexico had the highest rate of treated ESRD incidence due to DM in 2013, at nearly 280 new ESRD patients per million population. vol 2 Figure 13.4 Percentage of incident ESRD patients with diabetes as the primary cause of ESRD, by country, 2013



Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Abbreviations: ESRD, endstage renal disease; sp., speaking.

vol 2 Figure 13.5 Trends in the incidence of treated ESRD due to diabetes, per million population, 2000-2013: Ten countries having the highest % rise from 2000/01 to 2012/13, plus the U.S.



Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

vol 2 Table 13.2 Trends in the incidence of treated ESRD due to diabetes, per million population, 2000-2013

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Argentina	•			•	43.1	48.7	47.6	50.1	51.1	52.1	53.5	54.9	56.2	56.2	
Australia	20.4	24.9	25.8	25.9	29.3	35.6	38.5	35.7	40.9	36.1	37.7	39.9	42.1	38.4	77.7
Austria	43.6	44.5	46.6	47.0	51.9	51.5	52.7	48.8	47.8	44.9	42.1	40.6	37.1	36.7	-16.2
Bahrain								•	71.4	71.3	70.5	81.2			
Belgium, Dutch sp.	31.3	38.1	38.9	42.0	44.3	44.4	42.8	44.5	44.5	48.3	41.6	38.4	35.3	36.6	3.6
Belgium, French sp.		36.5	38.9	40.1	39.6	41.9	42.0	42.6	44.2	49.3	40.7	38.6	41.7	37.4	8.4
Bosnia and Herzegovina				24.3	21.7	21.4	28.4	29.7	30.3	43.1	32.4	31.4	36.2	31.6	
Brazil									50.2					77.4	
Canada	49.8	53.3	53.1	55.4	56.2	57.2	56.9	58.4	57.6	57.4	59.5	58.1	60.8	54.5	11.8
Chile														90.6	
Colombia					31.9	36.2	57.5	58.4	35.1	26.1	52.2	31.1			
Croatia													37.5	44.6	
Czech Republic	49.6	55.3													
Denmark	28.5	31.7	34.6	29.9	28.2	29.4	28.0	34.6	28.8	30.1	27.7	30.6	34.9	26.8	2.5
Estonia														12.9	
Finland	30.3	30.8	36.7	33.2	32.3	33.5	31.0	33.5	31.8	27.9	29.1	30.4	28.3	28.3	-7.4
France						32.1	30.7	30.9	33.6	34.5	34.7	33.8	34.1	35.7	
Greece	41.0	44.6	45.0	50.4	55.6	56.9	58.3	53.3	58.6	57.0	55.7	55.2	54.5	58.0	31.4
Hong Kong			60.5	51.2	57.2	59.7	59.9	66.6	62.7	65.4	69.3	72.5	79.0	81.0	
Hungary									91.4	91.2	101.9	91.4	91.6		
Iceland	3.6	14.0	7.0		3.4	3.4	19.8	9.7	12.6	15.7	15.7	40.8		12.4	40.9
Iran								•	22.1	23.2	24.6	24.6	24.8	24.9	
Israel			65.3	73.3	79.6	75.8	80.7	80.8	78.4	83.4	83.7	90.5	89.2	83.3	
Italy											34.0				
Jalisco (Mexico)	100.6	106.5	118.2	143.0	193.8	181.4	172.7	204.7	218.8	243.0	254.5	316.2	275.2	244.1	150.7
Japan	87.9	95.7	99.1	106.8	109.1	112.6	117.1	123.3	124.2	128.1	127.7	131.3	126.8	126.0	37.7
Rep. of Korea	37.7	47.3	52.7	64.8	74.1	66.8	78.4	82.4	76.3	79.8	82.0	96.7	111.9	112.3	163.8
Malaysia	34.8	41.0	47.8	56.9	62.8	67.7	81.9	88.5	99.7	106.2	112.3	125.6	139.8	150.7	283.2

Table 13.2 continued on next page.

2015 USRDS Annual Data Report | Volume 2 - ESRD in the United States

vol 2 Table 13.2 Trends Country	in the incide 2000	ence of tro 2001	eated ESRI 2002	D due to d 2003	liabetes, p 2004	er million 2005	populatio	on, 2000-2 2007	2008 2008	inued) 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Morelos (Mexico)							· .	287.5	333.4	346.9					
Netherlands	15.6	16.3	17.8	17.1	18.4	16.7	18.4	21.5	22.2	18.8	18.8	20.5	20.1	19.0	22.6
New Zealand	39.1	45.6	52.8	47.6	45.7	46.9	50.4	45.6	53.3	64.8	59.8	46.8	57.2	60.1	38.5
Norway	13.6	13.7	11.0	15.1	17.4	12.8	16.5	15.3	20.3	21.3	17.8	14.5	17.1	19.1	32.6
Oman									45.9	48.0	48.0	52.0	52.0	55.0	
Philippines	8.4	13.3	15.2	19.7	25.1	27.1	29.0	33.8	35.5	38.7	43.0	46.5	51.8	60.9	419.4
Poland									31.2	28.7	26.3	30.0	30.7	26.7	
Portugal									75.7	72.3	75.2	75.7	68.5	69.4	
Qatar											32.1	32.9	32.9	32.3	
Romania						10.1	9.2	10.5	12.1	15.7	19.6	18.4	19.7	21.9	
Russia	1.6	1.8	1.3	2.1		2.7	3.8		6.1	5.9	6.2	7.4	8.1	17.2	644.1
Saudi Arabia									48.4	45.3	42.2	48.2	50.4		
Scotland	19.9	18.8	20.4	22.9	20.7	27.7	25.8	23.1	23.4	25.4	23.2	22.3	28.0	22.7	31
Serbia												33.4	33.1	34.0	
Singapore	97.4	117.2	111.7	113.8	129.8	133.2	146.4	152.4	158.7	139.0	153.0	170.2	187.3	191.7	76.6
Slovenia										31.9	30.3	31.7	35.0	32.5	
Spain					30.6	29.3	29.9	26.0	28.9	25.6	29.8	29.3	29.9	31.3	
Sweden	33.0	32.1	30.6	29.4	30.9	31.3	33.9	35.4	29.0	32.0	29.8	30.2	26.2	28.6	-15.8
Switzerland														22.8	
Taiwan	114.4	142.5	153.6	144.2	162.6	181.8	181.8	189.5	191.1	190.2	198.4	197.8	203.4	205.8	59.3
Thailand	3.1	7.0						64.0	40.7	58.8	54.5	73.9	83.2	78.8	1504
Turkey	26.7	37.1	54.5	25.8	25.9	54.0	44.2	52.2	70.5	68.6	76.9	78.4			
Ukraine													3.0		
United Kingdom [^]					19.1	21.0	23.7	23.7	23.9	25.3	24.0	24.7	25.7	24.7	.
United States	145.6	150.0	150.1	152.2	154.5	155.8	160.2	157.4	158.0	161.4	161.4	157.6	156.6	158.5	6.6
Uruguay	21.4	26.3	27.1	43.3	33.0	43.3	30.5	31.6	39.6	50.2	38.4	60.0	50.2	51.7	113.6

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Data for France include 15 regions in 2006, 18 regions in 2007, 20 regions in 2008, and 22 regions in 2009-2013. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. ° % change is calculated as the percent difference between the average incidence in 2012 and 2013 and the average in 2000 and 2001. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

Incidence of Treated End-stage Renal Disease by Age Group

The incidence of treated ESRD in 2013 is shown by age group in Figure 13.6. In the majority of countries, treated ESRD incidence was highest among patients aged 75 years or older. The highest ESRD incidence rates in the population aged 75 years and older were reported for Poland, Taiwan, and the U.S. (3166, 2720, and 1396 per million population, respectively). However, the oldest cohort did not display the highest incidence in all countries. In Hong Kong, Malaysia, New Zealand, Serbia, Romania, Russia, Estonia, and Finland, the incidence of treated ESRD was 20-50% lower in the population aged 75 years or older, as compared to those 65-74 years. The highest rate of ESRD incidence in younger adults (aged 20-44 years) was reported in the U.S., where 2013 rates were more than twice that of most other countries with available data.









Figure 13.6 continued on next page.

2015 USRDS Annual Data Report | Volume 2 - ESRD in the United States

vol 2 Figure 13.6 Incidence of treated ESRD per million population, by age group and country, 2013 (continued)



Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. For graph (a), data for Spain include patients 15-44 years old, and data for the United States include patients 22-44 years old. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking.

Incidence of Treated End-stage Renal Disease by Sex

Comparisons of the incidence of treated ESRD by sex are shown in Figure 13.7. In every country the rate is substantially higher for males than for females. The incidence of treated ESRD was approximately 1.8 to 2 times higher for males in French-speaking Belgium, France, all of the Nordic countries shown, and Spain, and was 1.2 to 1.9 times higher for males versus females in most other countries. In contrast, Taiwan was the only country in which the incidence of treated ESRD was only slightly higher for males than for females.

The above indication of considerably lower ESRD incidence for females versus males in nearly all countries shown in Figure 13.7 is consistent with the recent paper by Hecking et al (2014) who observed that considerably fewer women than men were being treated with hemodialysis for end-stage renal disease in 12 countries participating in the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) from 2002-2012. The current international findings regarding substantially lower ESRD incidence rates for females versus males in essentially all countries displayed in this current report in conjunction with the prior findings by Hecking et al (2014) lead to the broader question of what factors are responsible for this differential ESRD incidence in males versus females that is consistently being seen across this large number of countries.

vol 2 Figure 13.7 Incidence of treated ESRD per million population, by sex and country, 2013

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Abbreviations: ESRD, endstage renal disease; sp., speaking.

Prevalence of End-stage Renal Disease

In 2013, ESRD prevalence varied nearly 30-fold across represented countries (see Figure 13.8 and Table 13.3). Treated ESRD prevalence was highest, ranging from 1442 to 3138 per million population, in the Asian countries of Taiwan, Japan, Singapore, and the Republic of Korea, as well as in the US, Portugal, and Jalisco region of Mexico. In nearly 30% of countries, prevalence ranged from 1,000 to 1,300 per million population, while nearly 45% of countries reported a treated ESRD prevalence between 600 and 1000 patients per million population. These included many countries in the northern part of Western Europe and Central/Eastern Europe, Australia and New Zealand, the South American countries of Argentina, Brazil, and Colombia, and the Middle Eastern nations of Iran and Qatar. The lowest prevalence was reported in Indonesia, Bangladesh, South Africa, the Philippines, Russia, and Saudi Arabia, where ESRD prevalence ranged from 66 to 486 per million population.

In most countries, the prevalence of treated ESRD per million population was highest for individuals aged 65-74 years (Figure 13.9), whereas in Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Canada, France, Greece, Japan, Netherlands, Slovenia, and Taiwan, the prevalence was highest for those aged 75 years and older. Notably, in Malaysia, New Zealand, and Russia, the prevalence of treated ESRD was ~2 to 2.6 times lower for those 75 years and older versus those 65-74 years old. Among younger adults - 20 to 44 years old - the US displayed the highest ESRD prevalence across all countries. Similar to that seen for ESRD incidence rates, the prevalence of treated ESRD was substantially greater for males than females in all countries, except in Taiwan in which ESRD prevalence was similar for males and females (Figure 13.10).

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. The prevalence is unadjusted and reflects prevalence at the end of 2013. Japan and Indonesia includes dialysis patients only. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking.

vol 2 Figure 13.8 Prevalence of treated ESRD per million population, by country, 2013

vol 2 Figure 13.9 Prevalence of treated ESRD per million population, by age group and country, 2013

Figure 13.9 continued on next page.

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Japan and Indonesia include dialysis patients only. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking.

304

vol 2 Figure 13.9 Prevalence of treated ESRD, per million population, by age group and country, in 2013 (continued)

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Japan and Indonesia include dialysis patients only. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking.

(d) \geq 75 years old

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Japan and Indonesia include dialysis patients only. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Abbreviations: ESRD, endstage renal disease; sp., speaking. From 2006 to 2013 the prevalence of ESRD steadily increased in all countries that provided data for 2013. These trends are indicative of the increasing worldwide need for additional dialysis and kidney transplantation services to meet the health needs of individuals with ESRD. As shown in Figure 13.11 and Table 13.3, the largest proportionate increases in ESRD prevalence between 2000/01 and 2012/2013 were observed in Thailand, the Jalisco region of Mexico, and the Philippines (range: 323 to 839% increase), followed by rises of 99% to 227% in ESRD prevalence in Russia, Malaysia, Turkey, the Republic of Korea, Bangladesh, Israel, and Chile.

In 2013, the total number of patients treated for ESRD was by far the highest in the U.S. (Table 13.3), with nearly 650,000 treated patients, followed by Japan and Brazil with approximate cohorts of 307,000 and 155,000 patients, respectively. Spain, the United Kingdom, Turkey, France, Thailand, Taiwan, and the Republic of Korea reported between 50,000 to 75,000 treated ESRD patients in 2013, with all other countries indicating a smaller treated ESRD population, with approximately 9,000 treated ESRD patients in the median country.

vol 2 Table 13.3 Number of prevalent treated ESRD patients and prevalence of ESRD, per million population, by country, 2000-2013

ESRD prevalence, per million population

					•		· •	•••							1
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Argentina					550.3	578.7	598.0	615.4	755.7	761.9	781.7	774.9	819.9	859.9	
Australia	608.6	634.5	662.7	688.6	709.8	746.5	778.3	801.3	839.0	853.4	872.1	891.3	912.7	928.4	48.1
Austria	714.7	755.2	781.6	859.1	854.4	889.4	908.6	933.5	947.9	980.8	992.3	1001.0	1025.3	1053.7	41.4
Bahrain									291.0	300.4	280.3	339.7			
Bangladesh	52.7	58.9	64.6	72.0	72.5	80.2	87.8	101.3	112.8	107.5	113.0	105.0	122.3	120.7	117.7
Belgium, Dutch sp.	790.4	834.4	879.0	909.8	950.0	993.7	1033.1	1063.8	1096.0	1138.6	1164.0	1184.6	1205.9	1223.1	49.5
Belgium, French sp.		828.6	885.3	933.0	987.1	1022.2	1071.5	1110.7	1145.7	1128.1	1165.0	1193.2	1232.6	1269.9	51
Bosnia and Herzegovina				477.3	487.2	524.2	551.9	601.8	637.0	646.4	675.1	709.8	718.9	746.9	
Brazil				338.1	367.5	388.9	398.3	466.0	408.5	475.8	467.1	671.2	720.2	771.1	
Canada	807.6	856.9	899.9	933.1	972.2	1006.1	1039.1	1071.1	1094.1	1118.6	1135.5	1158.3	1175.7	1192.6	42.3
Chile	611.5	671.6	725.8	772.8	840.9	865.8	929.6	985.7	1065.2	1108.8	1161.1	1235.7	1263.4	1293.8	99.3
Colombia									455.3	441.3	544.2	536.3	578.4	611.3	
Croatia	621.5	657.0	699.1	789.7	806.8	835.5							1033.0	799.5	43.3
Czech Republic	620.9	662.2	695.1	707.7	757.6	452.4	461.9	499.9	538.1	907.6	970.1	974.4		1012.8	57.9
Denmark	639.6	685.3	716.5	743.2	755.8	770.4	781.8	832.2	832.1	842.6	846.3	856.7	871.6	876.9	32
Estonia														572.1	
Finland	582.3	613.0	635.5	663.9	688.8	715.8	727.1	747.4	769.2	783.5	798.6	807.5	811.8	825.9	37
France						916.9	962.6	953.9	993.1	1052.5	1089.2	1118.6	1142.9	1177.4	
Greece	799.9	815.6	842.1	880.8	922.7	958.3	986.1	1013.4	1038.9	1069.7	1083.8	1104.1	1136.6	1172.1	42.9
Hong Kong		787.5	843.0	877.7	927.8	970.3	1003.0	1031.4	1067.4	1128.9	1145.8	1159.1	1194.9	1222.5	53.5
Hungary									578.1	868.6	889.9	904.7	919.8	929.6	
Iceland	362.7	407.1	434.7	480.1	475.9	475.2	483.9	518.5	523.0	536.9	594.3	661.4	676.6	685.7	77
Indonesia										27.9	37.3	40.1	50.6	65.9	
Iran									490.6	524.3	544.4	564.2	583.3	603.4	
Ireland										769.2	801.6	825.2	845.3	862.2	
Israel	526.0	542.0	577.7	896.3	934.7	973.6	1010.1	1040.7	1070.8	1086.6	1101.9	1120.2	1125.4	1136.7	111.8
Jalisco (Mexico)	270.3	337.9	389.5	394.4	507.6	807.9	928.9	986.2	1029.6	1314.3	1332.3	1381.5	1408.8	1653.5	403.5
Japan	1616.2	1640.3	1727.0	1795.2	1850.9	1879.8	1954.5	2058.1	2126.0	2205.4	2277.4	2313.8	2365.2	2411.1	46.7
Rep. of Korea	584.5	642.2	700.6	794.5	854.0	899.8	941.7	972.8	1031.7	1113.6	1144.4	1224.8	1353.3	1441.5	127.8
Lebanon													855.0		

vol 2 Table 13.3 Number of prevalent treated ESRD patients and prevalence of ESRD, per million population, by country, 2000-2013 (continued)

ESRD prevalence, per million population

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Malaysia	338.4	382.3	429.4	476.8	526.9	577.4	626.3	692.5	769.4	828.1	903.1	974.8	1060.5	1140.4	205.4
Netherlands	617.1	636.2	656.0	678.4	703.7	733.5	772.2	802.5	820.2	850.4	873.2	894.1	918.2	945.4	48.7
New Zealand	610.6	652.2	689.2	719.1	733.9	754.0	775.5	793.2	812.0	857.2	880.8	884.6	904.7	935.6	45.7
Norway	581.2	611.9	642.8	667.9	708.9	732.4	753.1	784.0	816.9	844.1	859.2	875.0	887.9	900.5	49.9
Oman									463.5	499.7	618.7	663.2	713.9	655.8	
Philippines	48.4		22.3	46.0	52.3	91.1	80.6	84.6	109.8	119.2	136.9	163.2	185.1	224.4	323
Poland									647.5	672.4	665.5	706.7	732.2	779.8	
Portugal									1406.8	1505.1	1589.5	1662.0	1670.3	1736.9	
Qatar											601.2	627.9	647.4	649.1	
Romania						254.4	304.7	368.3	422.4	555.6	625.1	694.4	766.1	816.9	
Russia	64.8	73.8	79.3	90.9	102.2	114.9	130.1		158.0	173.1	185.5	196.4	211.7	241.4	226.9
Saudi Arabia									797.5	474.5	465.5	492.2	499.4	485.5	
Scotland	634.5	661.2	683.9	713.2	731.1	761.0	783.9	812.0	809.9	827.1	836.6	841.2	856.1	866.0	32.9
Serbia												765.5	799.7	839.1	
Singapore	1103.0	1176.1	1225.7	1271.6	1301.9	1345.3	1400.1	1441.8	1494.8	1526.9	1578.6	1661.8	1741.4	1809.1	55.8
Slovenia										981.5	987.5	985.5	999.9	1008.3	
South Africa													163.7	166.8	
Spain					1098.5	868.4	961.0	956.2	994.8	886.2	1045.5	1074.7	1075.6	1125.7	
Sweden	716.4	739.8	764.5	778.3	808.9	820.4	850.7	866.7	875.7	891.6	910.0	929.2	931.3	939.5	28.5
Taiwan	1526.3	1643.7	1792.2	1899.8	1999.2	2101.4	2196.8	2285.1	2432.0	2667.3	2811.5	2923.1	3030.8	3137.7	94.6
Thailand	98.4	114.8		237.9	243.4	220.1	286.0	419.8	496.9	552.8	639.3	749.8	905.9	1096.6	839.3
Turkey	271.2	352.6	373.7	401.2	433.4	450.7	589.2	711.5	753.1	819.2	847.4	868.2	815.6	870.2	170.2
Ukraine													131.3		
United Kingdom [^]					621.5	680.4	722.9	737.6	773.2	802.7	826.1	851.6	874.1	905.0	
United States	1359.9	1415.7	1469.6	1520.5	1570.6	1619.7	1672.1	1722.5	1774.5	1831.5	1887.4	1936.2	1987.8	2042.5	45.2
Uruguay	737.1	762.9	807.3	845.5	893.2	849.1	927.1	963.9	1016.5	1018.6	1033.2	1074.9	1072.6	1127.1	46.6

Table 13.3 continued on next page.

vol 2 Table 13.3 Number of prevalent ESRD patients and prevalence of ESRD, per million population, by country, 2000-2013 (continued)

					(a) Prev	valent pat	ients, cou	nts						
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Argentina					21,034	22,333	23,306	24,218	30,035	30,580	31,885	31,975	34,218	36,290
Australia	11,657	12,318	13,017	13,691	14,275	15,175	16,112	16,842	17,826	18,510	19,212	19,909	20,742	21,470
Austria	5,770	6,091	6,303	6,940	6,925	7,232	7,512	7,731	7,898	8,195	8,325	8,432	8,657	8,906
Bahrain			•	•	•				322	354	346	406	410	
Bangladesh	6,746	7,537	8,265	9,220	10,274	11,565	12,864	15,089	17,068	16,068	17,080	16,050	18,922	18,900
Belgium, Dutch sp.	4,700	4,975	5,260	5,464	5,728	6,023	6,300	6,531	6,779	7,094	7,309	7,497	7,677	7,823
Belgium, French sp.		3,583	3,850	4,068	4,335	4,516	4,768	4,983	5,184	5,151	5,378	5,566	5,795	6,005
Bosnia and Herzegovina			•	1,829	1,867	2,009	2,115	2,306	2,441	2,477	2,587	2,490	2,522	2,620
Brazil				59,153	65,121	70,872	73,605	87,044	77,589	92,091	91,314	132,491	143,497	155,011
Canada	24,784	26,581	28,222	29,542	31,057	32,467	33,898	35,274	36,465	37,742	38,749	39,942	41,009	41,931
Chile	9,301	10,344	11,314	12,190	13,450	14,160	15,353	16,360	17,856	18,849	19,854	21,007	21,730	22,512
Colombia									20,239	19,846	24,760	24,692	26,942	28,807
Croatia	2,755	2,913	3,100	3,504	3,582	3,708	3,799	3,932	4,009	4,124	4,257	4,348	4,410	2,740
Czech Republic	6,374	6,759	7,092	7,227	7,743	4,638	4,752	5,190	5,633	9,536	10,218	10,236		10,647
Denmark	3,415	3,670	3,851	4,004	4,125	4,219	4,295	4,592	4,619	4,701	4,743	4,821	4,923	4,973
Estonia														754
Finland	3,014	3,180	3,305	3,461	3,601	3,755	3,829	3,953	4,087	4,183	4,283	4,351	4,395	4,492
France						31,151	34,835	49,679	54,627	62,019	64,197	66,243	68,448	70,792
Greece	8,480	8,942	9,266	9,705	10,207	10,641	10,994	11,343	11,674	12,069	12,255	12,477	12,608	12,832
Hong Kong		5,300	5,670	5,937	6,307	6,635	6,930	7,171	7,460	7,580	7,857	8,197	8,549	8,787
Hungary									5,807	8,713	8,912	9,034	9,135	9,211
Iceland	102	116	125	139	139	141	147	161	166	171	189	211	217	222
Indonesia														
Iran									35,248	38,250	40,300	42,370	44,450	46,640
Ireland										3,487	3,651	3,775	3,876	3,960
Israel	3,350	3,528	3,796	5,995	6,364	6,747	7,125	7,472	7,826	8,134	8,400	8,699	8,902	9,161
Jalisco (Mexico)	1,688	2,110	2,432	2,463	3,170	5,455	6,357	6,865	7,218	9,222	9,916	10,421	10,769	12,802
Japan	205,139	208,791	220,196	229,110	236,334	240,170	249,718	262,968	271,471	281,212	289,415	295,706	301,545	306,925
Rep. of Korea	28,046	31,014	33,993	38,790	41,891	44,333	46,730	48,675	51,989	56,396	58,860	63,341	70,211	75,042
Lebanon			•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		4,100	•

Table 13.3 continued on next page.

vol 2 Table 13.3 Number of prevalent ESRD patients and prevalence of ESRD, per million population, by country, 2000-2013

(a) Prevalent patients, counts Country 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 13,479 Malaysia 7,951 9,180 10,531 11,943 15,086 16,805 18,825 21,191 23,442 25,589 28,234 31,113 33,887 1,447 1,638 Morelos (Mexico) 1,561 . 10,209 11,008 11,970 12,623 14,508 14,926 Netherlands 9,827 10,594 11,457 13,146 13,488 14,057 15,384 15,887 **New Zealand** 2,356 2,531 2,715 2,883 3,000 3,117 3,245 3,354 3,459 3,688 3,832 3,878 3,988 4,156 2.610 2.762 2.917 3.049 3,255 3,386 3.510 3.692 3,895 4.076 4,201 4,334 4,456 4,574 Norway Oman 1,535 1,708 1,836 2,008 2,206 2,382 Philippines 3,861 1,853 3,922 4,375 7,676 7,437 7,967 10,552 11,683 13,674 16,619 19,210 23,727 24,783 25,665 25,635 27,236 28,226 30,131 Poland 14,965 16,011 16,788 17,553 17,641 18,345 Portugal 1,088 1,408 1,031 1,181 Oatar . . 5,504 6,578 7,935 9,088 10,863 12,150 13,414 14,752 16,162 Romania 10,770 Russia 9,508 11,517 13,175 14,720 16,483 18,486 22,234 24,246 26,327 27,989 30,349 34,680 Saudi Arabia 19,334 12,040 12,633 13,356 14,171 14,562 . Scotland 3,245 3,347 3,457 3.607 3,713 3,877 4,011 4,177 4,186 4,296 4,369 4,419 4,549 4,614 Serbia 5,223 5,412 5,651 . . . 4,444 Singapore 3,611 3,912 4,147 4,281 4,665 4,936 5,165 5,445 5,701 5,954 6,297 6,648 6,955 2,002 2,023 2,023 2,057 2,077 Slovenia 8,840 South Africa 8,559 . . Spain 39,578 28,366 35,462 41,546 44,067 39,708 47,632 50,614 50,837 50,565 . 6.356 6.581 6.823 6.972 7.275 7.408 7.725 7,929 8.074 8,534 8,780 8,865 9,020 Sweden 8,291 Taiwan 34,001 36,828 40,362 42,945 45,360 47,849 50,255 52,462 56,025 61,668 65,120 67,889 70,666 73,339 Thailand 5,963 7,225 15,004 15,083 13,741 17,967 26,457 31,496 35,112 40,845 47,987 58,385 71,037 18,390 26,268 28,549 31,251 33,014 42,992 50,221 53,859 62,471 64,877 Turkey 24,348 59,443 61,677 66,711 Ukraine 5,985 . . . **United Kingdom^** 34,022 37,502 40,101 41,188 43,478 45,775 47,499 49,383 51,042 53,196 **United States** 383.716 403,431 422,696 441,103 459,875 478,651 498,928 518,883 539,620 561,848 583,817 603,287 623,916 645,697 2,551 2,715 2,675 2,895 2,807 3,073 3,204 3,389 3,407 3,468 3,532 3,525 3,704 Uruguay

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. ESRD prevalence is unadjusted and reflects prevalence at the end of each year. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Japan and Indonesia include dialysis only; Israel includes dialysis patients only for 2000-2002. Taiwan includes dialysis patients only for 2000-2012. Data for France include 15 regions in 2006, 18 regions in 2007, 20 regions in 2008, and 22 regions in 2009-2013. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. ^a % change is calculated as the percent difference between the average prevalence in 2012 and 2013 and the average in 2000 and 2001. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking; . signifies data not reported.

2015 USRDS ANNUAL DATA REPORT | VOLUME 2 - ESRD IN THE UNITED STATES

vol 2 Figure 13.11 Trends in the prevalence of treated ESRD per million population, by country, 2000-2013: Ten countries having the highest % rise in ESRD prevalence from 2000/01 to 2012/13, plus the U.S.

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. ESRD prevalence is unadjusted. Israel includes dialysis patients only from 2000-2002. U.S. is shown for comparison purposes. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

Relationships between Health and Development Indicators and the Prevalence of Treated ESRD across Countries

Given the increasing diversity of countries represented in this International Comparisons chapter, this year we introduce a comparison of a country's prevalence of treated ESRD with selected health and development indicators. International variation in treated ESRD prevalence reflects interactions between the underlying burden of disease, historical access to treatment, and treatment outcomes in different countries. Indicators such as the human development index (HDI), health spending per capita, and diabetes prevalence may therefore explain some of the observed international variation in treated ESRD prevalence. While it would also be relevant to examine the correlations between these indicators and ESRD incidence, such an analysis is partially confounded by "catch-up" growth of dialysis programs in countries that are transitioning away from historically limited access to renal replacement therapy. For this reason, we present analyses of ESRD prevalence only. Correlations are presented within discrete global regions that reflect genetic, health system, economic, and/or geographical similarities. As with ESRD data collection methods varying across countries to some extent, the manner by which HDI, health spending, and diabetes prevalence are ascertained for individual countries likely differ across countries, and thus direct comparisons should be made with caution.

Figure 13.12 presents the correlations between prevalence of treated ESRD and health spending per capita (in constant 2011 international dollars, reflecting purchasing power parity—PPP) for all countries represented in this chapter, by region. Trends towards higher prevalence of ESRD with higher health spending per capita were observed for North & Latin America, and Eastern & Southeast Asia. There was also some indication of similar, though weaker, trends in Central and Eastern Europe and the Middle East and Africa. In Australasia, Ireland, Nordic countries and the United Kingdom, ESRD prevalence clustered between 686 and 940 cases per million population, with health spending ranging from 3,311 to 6,308 international dollars per capita. By comparison, prevalence of ESRD was higher in the rest of Western Europe, ranging from 945 to 1,737 per million population, whereas health spending was slightly lower (range of 2,508 to 4,885 international dollars per capita).

vol 2 Figure 13.12 Prevalence of treated ESRD per million population, and health spending per capita (PPP), by region, 2013

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database & World Health Organization Global Health Expenditure database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. ESRD prevalence is unadjusted and reflects the most recent available prevalence since 2010. aCentral & Eastern Europe: Hungary, Romania, Czech Republic, Poland, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, Slovenia, Russian Federation, Turkey; Western Europe (except. United Kingdom, Ireland, & Nordic countries): France, Belgium (French-speaking), Belgium (Dutch-speaking), Spain, Portugal, Greece, Austria, Netherlands; United Kingdom, Ireland, Nordic Countries & ANZ: United Kingdom, Ireland, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Denmark, Iceland, Australia, New Zealand; North & Latin America: Argentina, Uruguay, Colombia, Brazil, Mexico (Jalisco), United States, Canada; Eastern & Southeast Asia: South Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Indonesia, Philippines, Japan; Middle East & Africa: Bahrain, Israel, Iran, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, South Africa. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; PPP, purchasing power parity; pmp, per million population.

Figure 13.13 shows the relationship between ESRD prevalence with values of the human development index (HDI), for countries in the dataset. The HDI is a summary measure of averaged achievement in 3 key dimensions of human development: life expectancy at birth, mean years of schooling, and standard of living (based on gross national income per capita expressed in purchasing power parity international dollars). Longer life expectancies and higher living standards may result in higher rates of treated ESRD, but also potentially to more effective disease prevention. Trends towards higher prevalence of ESRD with a higher HDI were observed for North and

2015 USRDS Annual Data Report | Volume 2 - ESRD in the United States

Latin America, the Middle East and Africa, and Eastern and Southeast Asia. There was also evidence of a similar, though weaker, trend in Central and Eastern Europe. In the group of countries including in Australasia, Ireland, the Nordic countries, and the United Kingdom, ESRD prevalence ranged from 686 to 940 cases per million population, with HDI clustered between 0.88 and 0.94. By comparison, prevalence of ESRD was higher in the rest of Western Europe (range 945 to 1,737 per million population), where HDI values were on average slightly lower. Among countries with the highest HDI (>0.90), ESRD prevalence ranged from 877 cases per million population (Denmark) to 2043 cases per million population (United States). Among countries with the lowest HDI in this dataset (<0.75), ESRD prevalence ranged from 70 cases per million population (Indonesia), to 1097 cases per million population (Thailand).

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database & United Nations Development Programme. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. ESRD prevalence is unadjusted and was from 2013 for all countries except Bahrain for which it was from 2011 and Ukraine for which it was from 2012. aCentral & Eastern Europe: Hungary, Romania, Czech Republic, Poland, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, Slovenia, Russian Federation, Turkey; Western Europe (except. United Kingdom, Ireland, & Nordic countries): France, Belgium (French-speaking), Belgium (Dutch-speaking), Spain, Portugal, Greece, Austria, Netherlands; United Kingdom, Ireland, Nordic Countries & ANZ: United Kingdom, Ireland, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Denmark, Iceland, Australia, New Zealand; North & Latin America: Argentina, Uruguay, Colombia, Brazil, Mexico (Jalisco), United States, Canada; Eastern & Southeast Asia: South Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Indonesia, Philippines, Japan; Middle East & Africa: Bahrain, Israel, Iran, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, South Africa. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; pmp, per million population.

Figure 13.14 illustrates the relationship between population prevalence of treated ESRD and crude prevalence of diabetes in the population aged 20-79 years. Overall, poor correlation was observed between the burden of diabetes in the adult population and rates of treatment for ESRD. This overall poor correlation may be indicative of multiple factors—in particular the relative impact of secondary prevention in different settings, rates of mortality in the diabetes population, variation in rates of detection of kidney disease in diabetic individuals, and access to dialysis and transplantation. The very high estimated prevalence of diabetes, but relatively low prevalence of treated ESRD, in North Africa and the Middle Eastern region are particularly noteworthy.

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database & International Diabetes Federation, Diabetes Atlas. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. ESRD prevalence is unadjusted and was from 2013 for all countries except Bahrain for which it was from 2011 and Ukraine for which it was from 2012. Diabetes percentage refers to the percentage of people ages 20-79 who have type 1 or type 2 diabetes. aCentral & Eastern Europe: Hungary, Romania, Czech Republic, Poland, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia, Slovenia, Russian Federation, Turkey; Western Europe (except. United Kingdom, Ireland, & Nordic countries): France, Belgium (French-speaking), Belgium (Dutch-speaking), Spain, Portugal, Greece, Austria, Netherlands; United Kingdom, Ireland, Nordic Countries & ANZ: United Kingdom, Ireland, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Denmark, Iceland, Australia, New Zealand; North & Latin America: Argentina, Uruguay, Colombia, Brazil, Mexico (Jalisco), United States, Canada; Eastern & Southeast Asia: South Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Indonesia, Philippines, Japan; Middle East & Africa: Bahrain, Israel, Iran, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, South Africa. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; pmp, per million population.

vol 2 Table 13.4 Health and development indicators and the prevalence of treated ESRD, across countries, 2013

Region	Country	Health spending per capita	Human Development Index	Percentage of diabetes	Prevalence of treated ESRD, pmp
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	928	0.73	9.7	747
	Croatia	1517	0.81	5.6	800
	Czech Republic	1982	0.86	6.9	1013
	Estonia	1453	0.84	5.7	572
	Hungary	1839	0.82	6.0	930
Central & Eastern Europe	Poland	1551	0.83	5.2	780
	Romania	988	0.78	4.0	817
	Russia	NA	0.78	8.3	241
	Serbia	987	0.74	9.9	839
	Slovenia	2595	0.87	7.5	1008
	Ukraine	687	0.73	2.5	131
	Hong Kong	NA	0.89	7.5	1223
	Indonesia	293	0.68	5.8	66
	lanan	3741	0.89	5.1	2411
	Republic of Korea	2398	0.89	7.5	1442
Eastern & Southeast Asia	Malavsia	938	0.77	10.9	1140
	Philippines	287	0.66	6.9	224
	Singanore	3578	0.90	10 /	1809
	Thailand	658	0.30	5 7	1007
	Pabrain	1000	0.72	21.0	240
	Iran	1414	0.82	21.0	540 602
		1414	0.75	9.9	1127
	Israel	2357	0.89	5.7	1137
Middle East & Africa	Lebanon	1092	0.77	15.0	855
	Oman	796	0.78	14.2	656
	Qatar	2882	0.85	22.9	649
	Saudi Arabia	1681	0.84	23.9	486
	South Africa	1121	0.66	9.3	167
	Argentina	1725	0.81	5.7	860
	Brazil	1452	0.74	9.2	771
	Canada	4759	0.90	7.9	1193
North & Latin America	Chile	1678	0.82	9.5	1294
	Colombia	843	0.71	7.3	611
	United States	9146	0.91	9.2	2043
	Uruguay	1715	0.79	5.6	1127
	Australia	3997	0.93	7.8	928
	Denmark	4552	0.90	6.3	877
LUK Incloud Nordia	Finland	3604	0.88	5.8	826
European Union Countries	Iceland	3646	0.89	3.2	686
& Australia /New Zealand	New Zealand	3405	0.91	9.0	936
	Norway	6308	0.94	4.7	901
	Sweden	4244	0.90	4.7	940
	United Kingdom	3311	0.89	4.9	905
	Austria	4885	0.88	6.6	1054
	France	4334	0.88	5.4	1177
Mastern Fringer	Greece	2513	0.85	4.8	1172
western Europe	Netherlands	5601	0.92	5.2	945
	Portugal	2508	0.82	9.6	1737
	Spain	2846	0.87	8.2	1126

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database & World Health Organization Global Health Expenditure database, United Nations Development Programme, International Diabetes Federation, Diabetes Atlas. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. ESRD prevalence is unadjusted and reflects the most recent available prevalence since 2010. Diabetes percentage refers to the percentage of people ages 20-79 who have type 1 or type 2 diabetes. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; PPP, purchasing power parity; pmp, per million population.

Dialysis Therapy for ESRD

Dialysis is the most commonly utilized therapeutic approach for treatment of ESRD, followed by kidney transplantation. The number of ESRD patients receiving chronic dialysis per million population in 2013 varied more than 40-fold across countries, from 3021 in Taiwan to a range of 66 to 194 in Indonesia, Bangladesh, South Africa, and Russia, (see Figure 13.15). Some countries have experienced very large rises in the prevalence of dialysis during recent years, with

vol 2 Figure 13.15 Prevalence of dialysis per million population, by country, 2013

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. ESRD prevalence is unadjusted and reflects prevalence at the end of 2013. Data for Indonesia represent the West Java region. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Abbreviations: sp., speaking.

a 179% increase in Romania since 2005, and a 200% nearly 850% increase in Thailand since year 2000, to 250% rise in the prevalence of dialysis in Russia, Malaysia, and the Jalisco region of Mexico since year 2000 (Table 13.4). However, a plateauing or decline in the prevalence of dialysis patients receiving chronic dialysis is beginning to be seen in nearly a quarter of all countries reporting several years of data (Table 13.5). These countries include Denmark, Finland, Netherlands, Norway, Scotland, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and Turkey.

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. The prevalence is unadjusted and reflects prevalence of dialysis at the end of each year. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

vol 2 Table 13.5 Trends in the prevalence of dialysis per million population, by country, 2000-2013

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Argentina	•		•		550.3	578.7	598.0	615.4	623.4	634.1	636.9	644.0	655.1	662.7	
Australia	334.7	353.0	370.3	388.5	398.2	424.9	447.1	462.9	478.8	482.6	486.3	494.9	505.1	509.1	47.5
Austria	372.3	386.2	394.2	412.1	441.8	461.4	469.6	477.7	487.9	505.9	507.8	503.2	510.2	521.1	36
Bahrain									212.4	221.5	196.8	250.2			
Bangladesh	48.6	54.6	60.9	68.0	64.7	75.7	87.3	99.3	112.1	104.7	109.7	101.0	117.5	114.7	125
Belgium, Dutch sp.	440.6	467.9	501.3	518.7	549.3	589.0	609.9	624.3	644.4	674.6	689.2	694.8	699.8	705.4	54.7
Belgium, French sp.		506.3	523.4	555.2	594.3	611.8	637.8	657.6	673.0	663.6	682.8	689.6	713.2	735.1	43
Bosnia and Herzegovina				450.7	455.6	490.8	521.1	569.9	596.5	602.8	630.7	662.2	666.8	690.7	
Brazil				338.1	367.5	388.9	398.3	466.0	408.5	475.8	467.1	494.4	503.9	556.7	
Canada	487.9	521.9	551.5	565.4	591.1	613.2	629.9	643.1	652.4	667.2	670.6	680.3	684.1	685.9	35.7
Chile	484.4	540.5	587.1	621.2	684.6	708.4	764.9	810.2	876.3	917.9	969.6	1025.6	1059.9	1088.2	109.6
Colombia	184.4	208.8	236.2	267.4	315.5	348.6	377.6	403.1	412.7	408.2	455.4	447.9	478.7	486.5	145.5
Croatia	529.7	556.9	581.2	655.4	652.9	669.2							651.7	620.3	17.1
Czech Republic	382.4	409.5	428.4	424.6	440.7	452.3	461.9	499.9	538.1	548.7	599.8	584.1		600.3	51.6
Denmark	385.8	423.7	440.8	452.5	457.7	460.9	463.8	498.4	490.0	486.8	470.0	461.0	461.0	451.4	12.7
Estonia														226.1	.
Finland	230.3	243.4	257.3	272.6	280.0	297.6	292.4	302.0	319.8	323.3	329.3	331.5	327.9	335.0	39.9
France						526.9	554.0	546.7	567.4	572.1	590.4	605.2	616.9	637.2	
Greece	660.0	674.1	691.3	718.9	752.4	776.7	793.6	811.1	824.0	851.5	867.7	882.4	904.9	933.1	37.8
Hong Kong			556.1	563.3	566.4	582.9	593.2	611.0	624.6	647.3	661.6	681.5	709.5	742.4	
Hungary									578.1	605.3	620.0	626.2	632.8	630.4	
Iceland	138.7	175.5	198.2	234.9	229.4	195.5	167.9	199.7	201.6	191.5	223.2	250.8	237.0	225.5	47.2
Indonesia										27.9	37.3	40.1	50.6	65.9	
Iran									245.0	282.1	290.7	299.2	307.3	316.4	.
Ireland										366.8	386.4	386.5	391.9	392.8	.
Israel	526.0	542.0	577.7	599.1	621.8	636.7	652.4	668.4	684.8	703.8	721.2	728.3	730.2	732.6	37
Italy											792.7				
Jalisco (Mexico)	270.3	337.9	389.5	394.4	507.6	493.2	576.9	586.9	593.4	856.1	872.1	881.1	883.0	1086.1	223.8
Japan	1616.2	1640.3	1727.0	1795.2	1850.9	1879.8	1954.5	2058.1	2126.0	2205.4	2277.4	2313.8	2365.2	2411.1	46.7
Rep. of Korea	427.8	477.5	530.2	617.6	670.8	711.7	746.0	770.6	818.9	888.7	910.2	972.4	1081.0	1151.0	146.5
Kuwait													346.6	404.8	.
Lebanon													665.4		.
Malaysia	285.4	326.9	371.3	416.7	464.6	513.0	560.8	627.1	703.8	762.7	836.7	908.9	996.1	1077.5	238.7

Table 13.5 continued on next page.

vol 2 Table 13.5 Trends in the prevalence of dialysis per million population, by country, 2000-2013 (continued)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Morelos (Mexico)								835.9	905.0	946.4					
Netherlands	302.5	310.4	315.0	320.5	326.7	336.3	353.4	356.3	369.5	385.0	385.1	385.2	385.1	387.2	26
New Zealand	346.0	378.3	406.2	427.8	435.2	454.3	477.5	489.8	494.4	530.1	548.9	545.6	559.7	581.7	57.6
Norway	143.6	160.2	172.5	179.4	195.6	207.9	216.5	232.3	243.7	252.0	249.9	246.1	248.9	251.8	64.8
Oman									231.0	258.3	322.1	347.8	382.8	358.5	
Philippines			20.1	46.0	61.2	86.5	75.8	79.4	104.6	114.0	132.9	159.4	181.8	221.0	
Poland									417.5	432.8	446.3	466.1	483.2	513.2	
Portugal									922.6	960.5	1023.7	1052.2	1068.2	1108.6	
Qatar											246.6	254.5	271.9	316.7	
Romania						239.5	285.0	346.2	393.8	518.0	581.1	642.3	708.0	752.5	
Russia	48.3	55.7	60.6	69.0	79.1	90.4	101.6		124.4	135.4	144.3	154.8	168.3	193.6	248
Saudi Arabia									460.7	474.5	465.5	492.2	499.4		
Scotland	326.9	334.8	354.3	367.2	379.5	399.0	414.1	424.4	415.2	418.2	418.8	410.6	407.4	393.8	21.1
Serbia												657.8	688.3	718.1	
Singapore	841.9	897.1	944.9	979.6	998.1	1028.1	1070.5	1101.0	1145.9	1173.6	1218.5	1291.8	1373.6	1436.1	61.6
Slovenia										716.3	703.9	689.3	689.8	681.1	
South Africa													132.9	142.2	
Spain					576.9	545.6	515.6	503.6	489.8	461.5	579.9	537.1	529.6	545.9	
Sweden	338.9	353.1	367.3	367.0	378.5	381.3	396.1	397.1	388.6	392.8	403.1	409.7	402.1	400.0	15.9
Switzerland														381.6	
Taiwan	1526.3	1643.7	1792.2	1899.8	1999.2	2101.4	2196.8	2285.1	2432.0	2585.1	2720.5	2823.1	2923.1	3020.5	87.5
Thailand	93.0	98.9		205.9	218.5	195.4	261.2	346.5	460.7	506.8	589.5	693.8	817.0	998.2	845.9
Turkey	237.9	306.1	337.1	359.6	386.3	430.0	530.8	631.5	643.7	717.6	742.9	772.8	646.6	687.1	145.2
United Kingdom [^]					335.2	329.0	352.7	391.8	402.8	415.9	419.3	426.7	430.4	432.2	
United States	1018.3	1056.4	1091.6	1123.1	1151.6	1181.3	1214.7	1247.5	1283.2	1324.5	1365.5	1399.4	1439.1	1481.6	40.8
Uruguay	644.1	662.4	687.2	711.2	723.2	717.2	716.9	729.3	760.9	745.9	749.0	762.0	757.1	791.8	18.6

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. The prevalence is unadjusted. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, & Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Data for France include 15 regions in 2006, 18 in 2007, 20 in 2008, and 22 in 2009-2013. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. ^a % change is calculated as the percent difference between the average prevalence in 2012 and 2013 and the average in 2000 and 2001. Abbreviations: sp., speaking; . signifies data not reported.

2015 USRDS Annual Data Report | Volume 2 - ESRD in the United States

Hemodialysis (HD) continues to be the most common form of dialysis therapy in nearly all countries. In nearly three-fourths of reporting countries, at least 80% of chronic dialysis patients were receiving in-center HD in 2013 (Figure 13.17). However, in 2013, peritoneal dialysis (PD) was used by 72% of dialysis patients in Hong Kong, and 45% in Jalisco (Mexico). Furthermore, 30-34% PD use was reported in Colombia, New Zealand, and Iceland, respectively, with 16% to 25% in Australia, Canada, Denmark, Finland, Qatar, South Africa, Sweden, and Thailand. As seen in Table 10.6, since 2006, an overall trend of increasing PD use as a percentage of all chronic dialysis has been seen in the countries of Argentina, Bangladesh, Hungary, Spain, Taiwan, Thailand, the U.S., and Uruguay. In contrast, PD use has declined over this same time period in countries such as Australia, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzogovina, Colombia, Croatia, Denmark, Finland, France, Greece, Hong Kong, Israel, Jalisco (Mexico), Republic of Korea, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Romania, Russia, Scotland, Singapore, Turkey, and the United Kingdom Home HD therapy was provided to 18.4% and 9.3% of dialysis patients, respectively, in New Zealand and Australia in 2013. Home HD was also used by 3.0 to 5.9% of dialysis patients in Canada, Denmark, Finland, the Netherlands, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. However, in all other countries, home HD was either not provided, or used by fewer than three percent of dialysis patients.

vol 2 Figure 13.17 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or peritoneal dialysis (CAPD/APD/IPD), 2013

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Denominator is calculated as the sum of patients receiving HD, PD, or Home HD; does not include patients with other/unknown modality. Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Abbreviations: CAPD, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; APD, automated peritoneal dialysis; IPD, intermittent peritoneal dialysis; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HD, hemodialysis; PD, peritoneal dialysis; sp., speaking. vol 2 Table 13.6 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or peritoneal dialysis (CAPD/APD/IPD), 2000-2013

					(a) In-cent	er HD							
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Argentina	•		•		95.6	96.0	96.0	96.1	96.0	96.0	95.8	95.1	94.8	94.6
Australia	61.3	62.3	64.7	66.1	67.6	68.9	68.2	68.3	68.6	69.6	71.3	72.2	71.1	71.1
Austria	91.6	91.7	92.0	92.0	92.3	92.1	90.8	91.2	91.0	91.0	91.1	91.6	90.9	90.3
Bahrain									95.7	95.8	95.5	95.3	88.4	
Bangladesh	99.1	99.1	99.1	99.0	98.8	98.6	99.6	98.4	98.3	98.2	97.5	96.2	95.9	94.9
Belgium, Dutch sp.	91.9	90.1	89.7	89.5	88.5	88.8	89.1	89.2	89.7	88.9	89.5	89.2	89.2	89.7
Belgium, French sp.		90.6	90.3	90.3	89.8	89.5	89.2	90.5	90.7	90.4	89.9	90.2	90.4	90.7
Bosnia and Herzegovina				96.6	96.2	95.7	95.3	95.2	95.1	94.9	95.2	96.0	96.5	96.5
Brazil				89.2	89.0	90.7	90.8	89.4	89.6	92.3	90.6	91.6	90.8	91.4
Canada	76.3	77.6	78.7	79.4	79.1	78.9	78.9	78.6	78.4	78.4	78.5	78.9	78.4	78.1
Chile	96.3	96.0	95.3	94.3	94.0	94.3	95.0	95.2	95.3	95.3	95.1	94.6	94.5	94.0
Colombia					63.3	62.4	63.9	63.4	68.0	68.2	68.7	69.1	69.4	69.9
Croatia	94.7	93.3	91.5	92.0	91.1	90.8	91.6	92.8	91.8	91.0	91.5	92.1	93.5	93.3
Czech Republic	93.3	92.4	92.8	92.6	92.5	92.5	92.4	92.3	91.8	92.0	92.1	91.7		91.8
Denmark	73.4	72.8	74.3	72.8	73.0	72.2	72.0	71.8	72.9	73.4	73.7	75.0	74.7	73.0
Estonia						•								85.2
Finland	75.8	77.8	78.2	75.5	75.6	75.5	76.0	75.8	74.3	75.0	77.1	77.4	76.2	74.6
France						85.2	85.4	87.4	87.8	91.9	92.1	92.5	92.5	92.3
Greece	89.4	89.9	90.0	90.3	90.6	91.0	91.5	91.7	91.7	92.0	92.3	92.7	93.4	93.4
Hong Kong			17.9	17.4	17.9	17.7	18.8	19.8	20.4	21.5	23.5	24.4	25.0	25.6
Hungary									88.3	87.2	86.5	85.8	85.7	86.1
Iceland	71.8	70.0	66.7	66.2	58.8	65.5	70.6	72.1	76.6	86.9	83.1	80.0	72.4	65.8
Iran									93.8	94.5	93.5	93.1	93.4	93.7
Ireland										88.6	88.3	88.1	86.8	86.3
Israel	87.5	88.8	84.7	88.5	89.0	90.9	91.9	92.9	93.6	93.3	93.8	94.1	94.3	94.3
Italy											90.0			
Jalisco (Mexico)	17.0	17.0	18.0	20.0	30.1	28.3	29.5	34.2	40.4	41.5	48.7	50.6	49.8	55.2
Japan	95.9	96.1	96.2	96.5	96.6	96.6	96.8	96.7	96.8	96.7	96.7	96.8	96.9	96.9

Table 13.6 continued on next page.

vol 2 Table 13.6 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or CAPD/APD/IPD, 2000-2013 (continued)

					(4	a) In-cente	er HD							
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Rep. of Korea	77.2	76.2	77.8	77.4	77.0	77.7	78.4	80.2	81.0	83.1	84.4	84.7	86.5	87.4
Kuwait													89.7	89.5
Lebanon													96.0	
Malaysia	86.7	87.3	87.5	88.1	89.2	90.1	90.2	89.9	90.0	90.3	90.6	90.8	90.4	90.3
Morelos (Mexico)								40.6	43.2	42.4				
Netherlands	67.6	66.9	68.3	69.5	72.2	73.4	74.8	76.0	77.4	79.1	79.5	81.4	81.6	82.4
New Zealand	34.9	37.6	37.5	41.2	43.3	45.9	45.5	48.2	48.1	48.4	47.4	48.6	49.3	49.4
Norway	81.2	86.2	84.0	83.6	82.9	83.2	80.5	80.6	83.4	80.7	81.3	84.2	83.2	83.2
Oman									95.7	97.1	95.9	96.0	95.0	92.9
Philippines			91.2	100.0	85.5	87.9	94.5	87.3	93.3	95.6	95.9	96.4	96.4	96.1
Poland									93.1	93.3	93.5	94.1	94.0	94.8
Portugal									94.8	94.4	93.9	93.7	93.4	93.7
Qatar											70.9	73.5	77.4	77.0
Romania						81.9	80.6	81.8	82.8	84.5	86.4	87.7	88.8	89.9
Russia	93.1	93.4	93.5	92.5	91.9	91.5	91.0		91.0	91.3	91.4	91.6	92.3	92.9
Saudi Arabia					•				92.2	90.6	90.5	90.7	90.6	
Scotland	68.7	73.0	75.6	77.4	77.4	77.8	79.0	80.7	82.6	83.7	84.8	85.3	85.6	86.4
Serbia					•				•			90.1	90.5	89.7
Singapore	84.3	83.5	79.4	79.6	79.2	80.3	81.1	82.5	85.6	86.3	87.4	87.2	87.9	87.6
Slovenia	•				•				•	95.6	96.5	96.6	96.8	96.3
South Africa	•				•				•				82.7	83.6
Spain					88.6	90.6	90.1	89.4	90.6	90.6	89.8	89.2	88.7	88.3
Sweden	72.7	73.0	73.5	74.2	74.6	75.8	75.5	73.0	73.3	73.7	74.7	75.6	76.0	74.6
Switzerland	•								•				•	91.1
Taiwan	94.2	93.7	93.9	93.5	93.5	93.0	92.4	91.5	90.7	90.7	90.6	90.5	90.7	90.8
Thailand	82.9	84.5		92.3	93.2	93.9	95.8	94.5	90.5	84.1	81.9	78.6	76.9	75.2
Turkey	87.3	89.0	86.9	87.5	88.2	87.9	88.7	88.1	87.4	89.6	90.4	91.8	90.4	91.6
United Kingdom [^]					73.8	76.3	78.6	78.9	81.1	81.6	81.9	81.9	81.5	82.0
United States	89.7	90.2	90.5	90.7	91.1	91.1	91.3	91.4	91.5	91.3	90.9	90.3	89.3	88.7
Uruguay	94.4	94.9	93.7	93.0	92.3	92.3	92.6	90.6	91.1	90.8	90.1	90.1	90.3	90.6

vol 2 Table 13.6 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or CAPD/APD/IPD, 2000-2013

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Argentina					4.4	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.9	5.2	5.4
Australia	27.1	26.4	24.6	23.9	22.4	21.5	22.1	22.0	22.0	21.0	19.5	18.8	19.5	19.6
Austria	8.2	8.0	7.7	7.8	7.5	7.7	9.0	8.7	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.4	9.0	9.6
Bahrain									4.3	4.2	4.5	4.7	11.6	
Bangladesh	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.4	0.4	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.5	3.8	4.1	5.1
Belgium, Dutch sp.	7.8	9.7	10.2	10.4	11.3	11.0	10.7	10.6	10.1	9.9	9.2	9.1	8.4	8.0
Belgium, French sp.		8.3	8.7	8.5	9.0	9.3	9.5	8.3	8.0	8.4	8.7	8.2	8.1	7.8
Bosnia and Herzegovina				3.4	3.8	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.0	3.5	3.5
Brazil				10.8	11.0	9.3	9.2	10.6	10.4	7.7	9.4	8.4	9.2	8.6
Canada	22.2	21.0	19.8	18.9	18.9	18.7	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.1	17.9	17.2	17.5	17.6
Chile	3.7	4.0	4.7	5.7	6.0	5.6	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.5	6.0
Colombia					36.7	37.6	36.1	36.6	32.0	31.8	31.3	30.9	30.6	30.1
Croatia	5.3	6.7	8.5	8.0	8.9	9.2	8.4	7.2	8.2	9.0	8.5	7.9	6.5	6.7
Czech Republic	6.7	7.5	7.2	7.4	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.7	8.2	8.0	7.9	8.3		8.2
Denmark	26.1	26.5	24.7	25.7	24.7	24.8	23.9	24.5	22.9	21.6	20.8	19.5	19.7	21.2
Estonia														14.8
Finland	22.7	20.3	18.9	21.3	21.0	21.3	21.2	20.4	21.7	21.3	18.9	18.5	18.4	19.6
France						12.2	12.6	11.1	10.9	7.3	7.2	6.9	6.9	7.1
Greece	10.6	10.1	10.0	9.7	9.4	9.0	8.4	8.3	8.3	7.9	7.7	7.2	6.6	6.6
Hong Kong	•		81.9	82.5	82.1	82.2	81.1	80.0	79.2	77.9	75.6	74.1	72.9	71.8
Hungary	•								11.7	12.8	13.5	14.2	14.3	13.9
Iceland	28.2	30.0	33.3	33.8	39.7	34.5	29.4	26.2	21.9	13.1	16.9	20.0	27.6	34.2
Iran									6.2	5.5	6.5	6.9	6.6	6.3
Ireland	•									11.3	11.1	10.8	11.6	11.3
Israel	12.5	11.2	15.3	11.5	11.0	9.1	8.1	7.1	6.4	6.7	6.2	5.9	5.7	5.7
Italy	•										10.0			
Jalisco (Mexico)	83.0	83.0	82.0	80.0	69.9	71.7	70.5	65.8	59.6	58.5	51.3	49.4	50.2	44.8
Japan	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9

(b) CAPD/APD/IPD

Table 13.6 continued on next page.

vol 2 Table 13.6 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or CAPD/APD/IPD, 2000-2013 (continued)

(b) CAPD/APD/IPD														
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Rep. of Korea	22.8	23.8	22.2	22.6	23.0	22.3	21.6	19.8	19.0	16.9	15.6	15.3	13.5	12.6
Kuwait													10.3	10.5
Lebanon													4.0	
Malaysia	9.9	9.8	10.1	10.1	9.4	8.7	8.7	9.1	9.0	8.7	8.4	8.3	8.8	8.8
Morelos (Mexico)								59.4	56.8	57.6				
Netherlands	30.6	31.4	29.9	28.5	25.7	24.5	22.9	21.7	20.1	18.5	17.8	15.9	15.3	14.2
New Zealand	51.0	48.7	48.1	44.8	41.9	38.3	38.3	36.0	36.2	35.1	34.8	33.2	31.5	32.2
Norway	18.4	13.6	15.7	16.1	16.9	16.2	19.1	19.1	16.4	18.8	18.0	15.3	15.8	15.5
Oman									4.3	2.9	4.1	4.0	5.0	7.1
Philippines			8.8	0.0	14.5	12.1	5.5	12.7	6.7	4.4	4.1	3.6	3.6	3.9
Poland									6.9	6.7	6.5	5.9	6.0	5.2
Portugal									5.2	5.6	6.1	6.3	6.6	6.3
Qatar											29.1	26.5	22.6	23.0
Romania						18.1	19.4	18.2	17.1	15.5	13.5	12.2	11.1	10.1
Russia	6.9	6.6	6.5	7.5	8.1	8.5	9.0		9.0	8.7	8.6	8.4	7.7	7.1
Saudi Arabia									7.8	9.4	9.5	9.3	9.4	
Scotland	28.2	24.2	21.9	20.2	20.4	20.1	19.3	17.5	15.1	13.7	12.8	12.1	11.3	11.0
Serbia												9.2	8.8	9.7
Singapore	15.6	16.4	20.5	20.3	20.8	19.7	18.8	17.4	14.4	13.6	12.5	12.8	12.0	12.3
Slovenia										4.4	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.7
South Africa													17.3	16.4
Spain					11.4	9.4	9.7	10.5	9.2	9.2	10.0	10.6	11.1	11.4
Sweden	25.4	25.0	24.2	23.5	22.4	21.1	21.9	24.2	23.9	23.5	22.5	21.3	20.6	21.7
Switzerland														8.4
Taiwan	5.8	6.3	6.1	6.5	6.5	7.0	7.6	8.5	9.3	9.3	9.4	9.5	9.3	9.2
Thailand	17.1	15.5		7.7	6.8	6.1	4.2	5.5	9.5	15.9	18.1	21.4	23.1	24.8
Turkey	12.7	11.0	13.1	12.5	11.8	12.1	11.3	11.9	12.5	10.4	9.6	8.2	9.2	8.1
United Kingdom [^]					24.0	21.6	19.4	19.1	16.8	16.0	15.2	14.7	14.4	13.8
United States	9.8	9.4	9.1	8.9	8.5	8.4	8.1	7.9	7.7	7.6	8.0	8.5	9.0	9.5
Uruguay	5.6	5.1	6.3	7.0	7.7	7.7	7.4	9.4	8.9	9.2	9.9	9.9	9.7	9.4

vol 2 Table 13.6 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or CAPD/APD/IPD, 2000-2013

(c) Home HD														
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Argentina	•				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Australia	11.6	11.3	10.7	10.1	10.0	9.5	9.6	9.8	9.4	9.4	9.2	9.0	9.4	9.3
Austria	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
Bahrain		•	•			•			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Bangladesh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Belgium, Dutch sp.	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	1.2	1.4	1.7	2.4	2.2
Belgium, French sp.		1.1	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.5
Bosnia and Herzegovina			•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Brazil		•	•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•	
Canada	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.5	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.5	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.3
Chile	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Colombia		•	•		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Croatia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•	
Czech Republic	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•	0.0
Denmark	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.6	2.3	3.1	4.1	3.7	4.2	5.1	5.5	5.5	5.7	5.9
Estonia		•	•	•					•	•	•	•		
Finland	1.6	1.9	2.9	3.2	3.4	3.1	2.9	3.8	3.9	3.7	4.0	4.1	5.4	5.7
France		•	•	•		2.6	2.0	1.6	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6
Greece	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hong Kong			0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.5	2.1	2.6
Hungary									0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Iceland	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Iran									0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ireland		•	•	•					•	0.1	0.6	1.1	1.6	2.4
Israel	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Italy											10.0			
Jalisco (Mexico)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Japan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Table 13.6 continued on next page.

vol 2 Table 13.6 Distribution of the percentage of prevalent dialysis patients using in-center HD, home HD, or CAPD/APD/IPD, 2000-2013 (continued)

(c) Home HD														
Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Rep. of Korea	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kuwait													0.0	0.0
Lebanon														
Malaysia	3.4	2.9	2.4	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8
Morelos (Mexico)								0.0	0.0	0.0				
Netherlands	1.8	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.7	3.1	3.3
New Zealand	14.1	13.7	14.4	14.0	14.8	15.8	16.1	15.8	15.7	16.6	17.8	18.1	19.2	18.4
Norway	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.6	1.0	1.3
Oman									0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Philippines			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Poland									0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Portugal									0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Qatar											0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Romania						0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
Russia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Saudi Arabia														
Scotland	3.1	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.7	3.1	2.6
Serbia												0.7	0.7	0.6
Singapore	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Slovenia					•	•	•		•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•
South Africa					•	•	•		•					•
Spain						•	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
Sweden	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.9	3.1	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.1	3.4	3.7
Switzerland					•	•	•		•					0.5
Taiwan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			•
Thailand	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Turkey	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
United Kingdom [^]					2.2	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.8	3.4	4.0	4.2
United States	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.8
Uruguay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Denominator is calculated as the sum of patients receiving HD, PD, or Home HD; does not include patients with other/unknown modality. Data prior to 2013 represents information on CAPD/CCPD. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, & Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Abbreviations: APD, automated peritoneal dialysis; CAPD, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis; CCPD, continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HD, hemodialysis; IPD, intermittent peritoneal dialysis; PD, peritoneal dialysis; sp., speaking.

Kidney Transplantation

Kidney transplantation rates vary greatly across countries, which may reflect not only geographic variations in ESRD incidence and prevalence but also differences in national health care systems, infrastructure, organ availability, and cultural beliefs. Kidney transplantation rates when expressed per million population serve to help standardize rates according to the size of a country's population and thus account for potential kidney donor pool size to some extent. However, it is also of interest to understand kidney transplantation rates in relationship to the size of the population in need of a kidney transplant. Towards this purpose, we now display kidney transplantation rates per 1000 dialysis patients in a country (Fig. 13.18b). A comparison of kidney transplantation rates per million population (Fig. 13.18a) with transplant rates per 1000 dialysis patients (Fig. 13.18b) indicates that the relative rates by country differ considerably between the two approaches for expressing kidney transplantation rates.

Among the countries represented in this chapter, kidney transplant rates when expressed per million population varied >30-fold across countries, from 1 to 59 kidney transplants per million population in 2013 (Figure 13.18a). The highest kidney transplant rates were reported in Croatia, the Jalisco region of Mexico, Netherlands, Norway, Spain, and the U.S., with 53–59 kidney transplants per million population. Kidney transplantation rates ranged from 30-51 per million population for 42% percent of countries, 11-29 per million population for 31% of countries, and 1-9 kidney transplants per million population for the remaining 15%. Countries reporting these lowest rates of kidney transplantation included Bangladesh, Bosnia and Herzegovina, the Philippines, Malaysia, Romania, Russia, South Africa, and Thailand.

Kidney transplant rates when expressed per 1000 dialysis patients are seen to greatly vary across countries, from 3 to 210 kidney transplants per 1000 dialysis patients in 2013 (Figure 13.18b). The highest kidney transplant rates per 1000 dialysis patients in 2013 occurred in Norway (210), Estonia (158), the Netherlands (146), Scotland (129), and the United Kingdom (117, not including Scotland). Furthermore transplant rates of 101 to 110 per 1000 dialysis patients were reported in Finland, Iceland, Iran, Ireland, Spain, Sweden, and Switzerland. Nearly 30% of countries reported kidney transplant rates of 50 to 99 transplants per 1000 dialysis patients, 25% of countries with rates of 20-49 per 1000 dialysis patients, and 22% of countries with rates of <20 transplants per 1000 dialysis patients. The lowest rates of 3 to 9 transplants per 1000 dialysis patients are seen in the countries of Bangladesh, Japan, Malaysia, and Thailand. In the US, 38 kidney transplants were reported per 1000 dialysis patients, in 2013.

Since 2000, a substantial increase has been seen in kidney transplant rates per million population in some countries (Table 13.6, Figure 13.19) – particularly in Bangladesh, Croatia, the Netherlands, the Republic of Korea, Russia, Thailand, Turkey, and Uruguay, which have demonstrated the largest increases (66% to 519%) in kidney transplantation rates per million population over this time period. Furthermore, during this time period since 2000, kidney transplantation rates per million population rose 26-41% in Australia, Frenchspeaking Belgium, the Czech Republic, Colombia, Denmark, Scotland, and Sweden.

Great variation is seen in the types of kidney donors, ranging from 80-100% living donor kidney transplantation in Bangladesh, Japan, Iceland, the Philippines, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey, to 0% in Slovenia (Figure 13.20). In approximately 60% of countries, donation from deceased individuals was the predominant form of kidney donation for transplantation reported in 2013. vol 2 Figure 13.18 Kidney transplantation rate, by country, 2013

(a) per million population

vol 2 Figure 13.18 Kidney transplantation rate, by country, 2013

Croatia 59 Jalisco (Mexico) 58 56 Netherlands 56 **United States** 54 Spain 53 Norway 51 Scotland United Kingdom^ 51 48 France Austria 46 44 **Czech Republic** 43 Sweden Portugal 42 41 Belgium, Dutch sp. Ireland 40 40 Australia Belgium, French sp. 39 39 Canada Country Switzerland 39 38 Turkey 38 Israel 38 Denmark 36 Estonia 35 Iran 34 Finland 34 Rep. of Korea 32 Uruguay 31 Argentina 29 Hungary 29 Poland 29 Slovenia 29 Colombia 27 Brazil 26 New Zealand Iceland 25 19 Qatar Saudi Arabia 19 18 Oman 18 Singapore 17 Serbia 17 Kuwait Chile 15 Greece 15 Japan 13 11 Hong Kong Romania 9 Thailand 9 7 **Bosnia and Herzegovina** 7 Russia South Africa 5 Philippines 3 Malaysia 3 Bangladesh 1 0 20 40 60 80 Rate per million population

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. All rates are unadjusted. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, & Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Data for France include 22 regions. Transplant data for Romania are limited to that available in dialysis center reports, and include only non-preemptive transplants. Data for Spain include all regions. Abbreviations: sp., speaking.

100

150

Rate per 1000 dialysis patients

200

50

15

14

13

12

10

9

Hong Kong

Singapore

Romania

Thailand

Malavsia 3

Bangladesh Japan

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Chile

210

vol 2 Table 13.7 Kidney transplantation rates per million population, by country, 2000-2013

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Argentina					•	19.1	21.7	23.0	25.1	26.4	27.9	28.3	30.3	30.5	
Australia	27.7	27.9	30.8	27.3	32.3	30.6	31.0	29.3	38.5	35.7	38.8	38.1	37.7	39.5	38.8
Austria	43.6	48.2	45.1	43.6	43.6	45.9	47.9	43.7	39.5	47.4	44.6	45.0	47.3	45.9	1.5
Bahrain				•					10.8	18.7	11.3	6.7			
Bangladesh	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.7	100
Belgium, Dutch sp.	50.8	36.7	31.1	37.3	31.3	28.4	39.7	43.3	40.3	39.6	38.7	41.2	44.6	41.0	-2.2
Belgium, French sp.		29.4	32.2	36.5	29.8	37.6	39.3	40.8	37.4	37.9	37.0	43.1	40.6	38.7	34.9
Bosnia and Herzegovina				6.5	7.6	11.5	6.8	8.4	9.1	7.0	6.0	4.6	6.8	6.8	
Brazil				17.9	18.8	18.4	17.8	18.5	20.1	22.1	23.8	25.2	27.2	27.0	
Canada	37.9	35.4	34.6	33.4	32.1	32.7	38.4	39.5	38.3	37.7	38.0	37.7	38.4	38.6	5
Chile	17.9	17.3	16.6	19.8	16.9	17.2	18.5	17.1	16.8	15.1	13.5	15.6	16.7	14.9	-10.2
Colombia	21.7	22.7	19.7	19.2	11.0	11.9	29.8	29.5	16.1	18.9	38.9	34.9	33.3	29.0	40.3
Croatia	9.0	16.2	18.3	18.0	26.4	22.3							54.3	58.6	348
Czech Republic	33.2	30.6	32.5	40.4	41.6	38.0	41.6	38.0	31.9	34.0	27.2	31.6		43.8	37.3
Denmark	28.7	30.4	31.8	32.7	34.8	32.7	30.8	31.4	34.9	40.7	41.2	44.6	37.9	37.7	27.9
Estonia														35.7	
Finland	37.7	32.4	32.9	31.3	37.1	31.8	39.7	32.3	28.0	33.2	32.8	33.0	36.4	34.4	1
France						36.6	39.9	45.1	44.9	44.6	45.5	46.3	47.0	48.4	
Greece	12.5	16.9	20.0	21.7	19.1	23.7	22.2	21.9	24.0	15.1	11.1	17.9	17.0	14.7	7.8
Hong Kong			12.0	7.5	7.4	8.6	9.6	9.5	11.0	14.0	11.7	9.3	13.8	11.3	
Hungary									25.7	27.1	30.7	25.1	27.8	29.4	
Iceland	24.9	17.5	0.0	3.5	10.3	33.7	26.3	22.5	25.2	31.4	31.4	50.2	18.7	24.7	2.4
Iran									26.7	29.3	30.1	30.3	31.8	34.5	
Ireland										37.9	26.8	42.0	35.8	40.3	
Israel	29.5	24.9	23.9	36.8	37.0	43.4	43.2	37.7	33.1	28.6	23.7	36.7	19.5	38.1	5.9
Jalisco (Mexico)	50.6	49.3	44.5	46.6	56.5	55.7	52.2	59.3	54.3	58.1	60.1	62.2	58.7	57.7	16.5
Japan										10.3	11.7	12.5	12.6	12.5	
Rep. of Korea	14.2	17.6	15.2	16.5	17.4	15.5	18.8	18.5	22.7	24.5	25.1	31.7	34.4	33.8	114.5
Kuwait													18.3	16.6	
Lebanon													19.0		
Malaysia	6.1	6.6	6.7	6.4	7.4	6.2	11.1	8.2	4.7	5.0	4.5	4.4	3.6	3.3	-45.7

Table 13.7 continued on next page.

vol 2 Table 13.7 Kidney transplantation rates, per million population, by country, 2000-2013 (continued)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Morelos (Mexico)								54.6	44.5	41.8					
Netherlands	35.7	32.7	36.4	38.6	41.8	43.1	41.0	51.0	47.3	50.1	52.5	51.6	57.0	56.4	65.8
New Zealand	27.5	28.3	29.7	27.7	25.7	22.5	21.5	29.1	28.6	28.1	25.3	27.4	25.0	26.1	-8.4
Norway	46.1	46.7	46.9	52.8	57.7	49.5	45.5	55.2	58.3	60.5	53.8	61.0	59.6	53.0	21.3
Oman									14.8	18.4	16.8	22.1	21.4	18.2	
Philippines	3.5	3.0	3.7	4.9	5.6	7.5	7.5	11.1	7.1	5.2	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.4	3.1
Poland									21.2	20.6	25.9	27.0	29.7	29.3	
Portugal									49.4	55.7	54.3	50.2	40.6	42.4	
Qatar									2.1	1.2	15.2	19.6	15.3	19.4	
Romania						4.7	5.3	2.8	7.3	6.9	6.8	9.0	7.1	8.9	
Russia	3.2	3.1	3.2	2.3	2.0	2.8	2.9		5.6	5.9	7.3	6.8	6.6	6.7	111.1
Saudi Arabia									16.3	15.1	18.6	20.4	19.6	18.6	
Scotland	36.0	31.0	29.5	30.3	26.6	29.2	26.4	37.7	41.0	40.8	35.2	37.3	43.7	50.7	40.9
Serbia												14.5	13.0	16.6	
Singapore	16.5	21.0	14.2	10.1	17.6	19.6	24.1	23.2	20.0	18.5	16.2	17.7	13.4	17.9	-16.5
Slovenia										22.6	31.7	23.9	30.6	29.1	
South Africa													4.7	4.6	
Spain										49.8	47.3	52.9	54.0	54.1	
Sweden	31.9	34.8	34.6	39.0	41.7	43.2	40.5	42.3	45.6	42.4	39.5	45.2	41.3	43.2	26.7
Switzerland														38.5	
Taiwan	12.0	16.0	17.2						12.5	13.6	12.1	14.0	11.2		-20
Thailand	3.3	3.6	4.0	5.7	4.3		3.6	5.9	5.4	4.8	5.5	6.3	7.2	8.5	127.5
Turkey	5.5	6.9	7.8	7.7	9.2	4.5	11.6	18.6	18.1	26.3	34.5	39.3	38.4	38.4	519.4
Ukraine													2.1		
United Kingdom [^]					34.8	30.0	34.1	38.3	40.3	42.1	44.0	44.3	45.9	50.5	.
United States	52.3	53.9	55.2	55.8	58.1	59.1	61.6	58.1	58.1	58.5	58.0	57.0	55.4	55.7	4.6
Uruguay	17.4	15.3	27.1	20.9	31.8	35.4	42.8	28.9	37.5	35.0	25.6	39.0	25.3	32.0	75.2

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. All rates are unadjusted. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, & Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Data for France include 15 regions in 2006, 18 in 2007, 20 in 2008, and 22 in 2009-2013. Transplant data for Romania are limited to that available in dialysis center reports, and include only non-preemptive transplants. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. There is underreporting of prevalent transplant patients in Turkey. a % change is calculated as the percent difference between the average rate in 2012 and 2013 and the average in 2000 and 2001. Abbreviations: sp., speaking; . signifies data not reported.

vol 2 Figure 13.19 Trends in kidney transplantation rates per million population, by country: Ten countries having the highest % rise in kidney transplantation rate from 2000/01 to 2012/13, plus the U.S.

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. All rates are unadjusted. Data for Croatia are missing from 2006-2011. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease.

In 2013, Norway, Portugal, and the U.S. reported the highest prevalence of ESRD patients living with a kidney transplant, at 611 to 649 per million population (Figure 13.21 and Table 13.8). Forty percent of countries indicated 400 to 599 prevalent ESRD patients living with a kidney transplant per million population, with the remaining 54% of countries evenly divided between having <200 and having 200-399 ESRD patients living with a kidney transplant per million population. From 2000 to 2013, the prevalence of ESRD patients living with a kidney transplant hasc ontinued to increase in every country with available data - increasing 60% to nearly 500% in one-half of all countries, while rising 18-52% in the remaining countries. The largest increases (160% to 490%) in the prevalence of ESRD patients living with a kidney transplant from 2000 to 2013 were seen in Croatia, Russia, Thailand, Turkey, and Uruguay.

2015 USRDS Annual Data Report | Volume 2 - ESRD in the United States

vol 2 Figure 13.20 Distribution of the percentage of kidney transplantations by kidney donor type and country, 2013

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Denominator is calculated as the sum of deceased, living donor, and unknown transplants. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, & Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Data for France include 22 regions. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease. vol 2 Figure 13.21 Prevalence of treated ESRD patients with a functioning kidney transplant, per million population, by country, 2013

Prevalence, per million population

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. The prevalence is unadjusted. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, & Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Data for Spain include 18 of 19 regions. Data for France include 22 regions. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking.

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Argentina									132.3	127.9	144.8	130.9	164.8	197.2	· ·
Australia	274.1	280.9	292.4	300.1	311.6	321.6	331.2	338.4	360.2	370.8	385.9	396.4	407.7	419.3	49
Austria	342.3	369.0	387.4	447.0	412.6	428.0	438.9	455.8	460.0	474.9	484.5	497.6	515.1	532.4	47.3
Bahrain									62.4	57.7	53.5	52.7			
Bangladesh	2.6	2.7	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.5		•						
Belgium, Dutch sp.	349.8	366.4	377.3	391.1	400.7	404.7	423.3	439.4	451.6	464.0	474.7	489.8	506.1	517.6	42.9
Belgium, French sp.		322.4	349.5	377.3	392.8	410.4	433.7	453.2	471.4	463.0	480.5	501.0	516.2	530.6	62.3
Bosnia and Herzegovina				26.6	31.6	33.4	31.6	31.8	40.4	43.6	42.3	47.3	51.9	56.2	
Brazil												176.8	193.2	214.4	
Canada	319.7	335.0	348.4	367.7	381.0	392.9	409.2	428.1	441.7	451.4	464.9	478.1	491.6	506.8	52.5
Chile	126.9	131.3	138.7	151.6	156.2	157.5	164.8	175.4	188.9	190.8	191.5	210.1	203.4	205.6	58.4
Colombia									60.6	59.8	88.8	88.3	99.7	111.1	
Croatia	91.8	100.1	117.9	134.3	153.8	166.3							381.3	159.9	182
Czech Republic	238.4	252.7	266.7	276.3	316.9					358.9	370.3	390.3		412.5	68
Denmark	253.8	261.6	273.3	288.4	297.4	266.8	318.0	333.6	341.6	355.1	375.8	395.0	409.8	424.6	61.9
Estonia														346.0	
Finland	352.0	369.5	378.2	391.3	408.6	418.0	434.5	445.5	449.4	460.2	469.3	476.0	483.9	490.9	35.1
France						390.0	408.6	407.3	425.7	464.4	483.2	500.5	514.6	532.6	
Greece	139.9	141.5	150.8	161.9	170.3	181.6	192.5	202.3	214.8	218.2	216.1	221.8	231.7	239.0	67.3
Hong Kong			312.5	314.4	361.4	387.4	409.7	420.4	442.8	481.7	484.2	477.7	485.4	488.5	
Hungary										263.3	269.9	278.5	287.0	299.1	
Iceland	224.0	231.6	236.5	241.8	246.5	276.3	316.0	318.8	321.3	345.4	371.0	410.6	439.6	460.2	97.5
Iran									245.7	242.2	253.7	265.0	276.0	286.9	
Ireland										402.3	415.2	438.7	453.4	469.4	
Israel				297.2	312.8	336.8	357.5	372.1	386.0	382.9	380.7	391.9	395.2	404.1	
Jalisco (Mexico)						314.7	352.0	399.4	436.2	458.2	460.2	500.4	525.8	567.4	.
Japan										85.0	83.3				.
Rep. of Korea	156.8	164.8	170.5	176.9	183.2	188.2	195.7	202.2	212.8	224.8	234.1	252.4	272.3	290.5	75
Malaysia	53.0	55.4	58.1	60.1	62.4	64.4	65.6	65.3	65.6	65.4	66.4	65.8	64.5	62.9	17.5

Table 13.8 continued on next page.

2015 USRDS Annual Data Report | Volume 2 - ESRD in the United States

.

vol 2 Table 13.8 Trends in the prevalence of ESRD patients with a functioning kidney transplant, per million population, by country, 2000-2013 (continued)

Country	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	% change from 2000/01 to 2012/13
Morelos (Mexico)								41.9	34.3	31.6					
Netherlands	314.6	325.9	341.0	357.6	376.7	396.9	418.9	446.1	450.5	465.1	487.9	508.8	532.9	558.2	70.4
New Zealand	264.6	273.9	283.1	291.3	298.7	299.7	298.0	303.4	317.6	327.0	331.9	339.0	345.1	353.9	29.8
Norway	437.5	451.7	466.0	488.5	513.3	524.5	536.6	551.7	573.2	592.1	609.3	628.9	639.0	648.7	44.8
Oman	•								232.5	241.4	296.5	315.4	331.1	297.4	
Philippines			2.2			4.6	4.8	5.3	5.2	5.2	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.4	
Poland	•								240.8	210.4	208.9	240.6	249.0	266.6	
Portugal	•								484.2	544.7	565.8	609.8	602.1	628.3	
Qatar									2.1	1.2	354.5	373.4	375.5	332.4	
Romania						14.9	19.7	22.0	28.6	37.5	44.0	51.9	57.9	64.1	
Russia	16.5	18.1	18.7	21.9	23.1	24.5	28.5		33.7	37.7	41.2	41.6	43.4	47.8	163.6
Saudi Arabia									336.8	318.2	298.4	273.0	252.0		
Scotland	307.6	326.4	329.6	345.4	351.3	361.2	369.0	386.5	394.7	408.9	417.8	430.6	448.7	471.9	45.2
Serbia												107.3	111.1	120.4	
Singapore	261.2	279.0	280.8	292.0	303.8	317.2	329.6	340.8	348.9	353.3	360.0	370.0	367.8	373.0	37.1
Slovenia										265.2	283.6	296.2	310.1	327.2	
South Africa													30.7	24.7	
Spain					521.6	386.3	445.4	452.7	505.0	424.7	516.1	537.6	545.9	579.9	
Sweden	377.5	386.7	396.8	411.2	430.4	438.9	454.5	469.5	487.1	498.8	506.8	519.4	529.0	539.5	39.8
Taiwan										82.2	91.0	100.0	107.7	117.2	
Thailand		15.9	23.8	33.8	24.9	24.7	20.5	57.4	36.3	46.0	49.8	56.0	88.9	98.4	489
Turkey	21.0	26.7	36.6	41.1	47.1	20.7	58.4	80.0	109.4	101.6	104.4	95.4	105.8	123.9	381.6
Ukraine													2.1		
United Kingdom [^]					286.3	271.3	287.5	345.8	370.4	386.7	406.9	425.0	443.8	472.8	
United States	378.4	397.1	416.7	435.7	456.2	476.5	497.2	516.1	533.7	550.7	567.2	583.1	597.1	611.3	55.8
Uruguay	87.5	100.8	120.1	134.3	151.5	131.9	210.3	234.7	255.5	272.7	284.2	312.9	315.6	335.3	245.7

Data source: Special analyses, USRDS ESRD Database. Data presented only for countries from which relevant information was available. Prevalence is unadjusted. ^United Kingdom: England, Wales, & Northern Ireland (Scotland data reported separately). Data for France include 15 regions in 2006, 18 in 2007, 20 in 2008, and 22 in 2009-2013. Data for Belgium do not include patients younger than 20. There is underreporting of prevalent transplant patients in Turkey. ° % change is calculated as the percent difference between the average prevalence in 2012 and 2013 and the average in 2000 and 2001. Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; sp., speaking; . signifies data not reported.

References

Hecking M, Bieber BA, Ethier J, Kautzky-Willer A, Sunder-Plassmann G, et al. Sex-specific differences in hemodialysis prevalence and practices and the male-to-female mortality rate: The Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). *PLoS Med* 2014;11(10): e1001750. Zoi:10.1371/journal. pmed.1001750.

Acknowledgements

We would like to greatly thank the following contributors—Sergio Miguel Marinovich (Argentina), Kylie Hurst (Australia and New Zealand), Reinhard Kramar (Austria), Professor Harun ur Rashid, Chief consultant, Kidney foundation hospital and research institute, Dhaka and Dr Nazrul Islam, Country manager, Bangladesh, National trading syndicate ltd., Baxter (Bangladesh), Frans Schroven, Bart De Moor, and Johan De Meester (Belgium, Dutch-speaking), Jean-Marin des Grottes and Frederic Collart (Belgium, French-speaking), Halima Resic, Besim Prnjavorac, Nenad Petković (Bosnia and Herzegovina), Ricardo Sesso and Jocemir Ronaldo Lugon (Brazil), Norma Hall, Analyst, CIHI, Eric de Sa, Senior Analyst, CIHI, Michael Terner, Program Lead, CIHI, Juliana Wu, Manager, CIHI (Canada), Hugo Poblete Badal MD, Mireya Ortiz Mejias MD, Marcela Valenzuela Cerna MD, and Susana Elgueta Miranda MD (Chile), Rafael Alberto Gómez and Cuenta de Alto Costo (Colombia), Croatia, Professor Ivan Rychlík, MD, PhD, Frantisek Lopot, Dipl. Eng., PhD. (Czech Republic), James Goya Heaf (Denmark), Anneke Kramer (ERA-EDTA), Estonia, Patrik Finne and Carola Grönhagen-Riska (Finland), Mathilde Lassalle and Cécile Couchoud (France), Nikolaos Afentakis (Greece), C.B. Leung and Stanley Lo (Hong Kong), Imre Kulcsar, Sandor Mihaly, George Reusz, Karoly Kalmar-Nagy, Balazs Nemes, Edit Szederkenyi (Hungary), Runolfur Palsson (Iceland), Afiatin Abdurahman and Dheny Sarli (Indonesia), Office for Transplantation and Special Diseases (Iran), Dr Liam Plant, National Clinical Director, Health Service Executive National Renal Office (Ireland), Tamar Shohat, Rita Dichtiar, Eliezer Golan (Israel), Dr. Ikuto Masakane (Japan), Dr Ali Alsahow (Kuwait), Lee Day Guat, National Renal Registry, Malaysian Society of Nephrology (Malaysia), Registro de Diálisis y Trasplante del Edo. de Jalisco (REDTJAL) (Mexico-Jalisco), Aline Hemke and Marc Hemmelder (The Netherlands), Torbjørn

Leivestad and Anna Varberg Reisæter (Norway), Dr Yaqoub al Maimani and Issa Al Salmi (Oman), Dr. Russell Villanueva and Dr. Romina Danguilan (Philippines), Grzegorz Korejwo on behalf of Prof. Boleslaw Rutkowski, Director of Polish Renal Registry (Poland), Fernando Macário and Fernando Nolasco (Portugal), Dr. Fadwa ALAli, Dr. Mohamed ELsaved, Miss. Aisha Elsaved, and Dr. Revad A. Fadhil (Qatar), ESRD Registry Committee, Korean Society of Nephrology, Dong Chan Jin, MD (Republic of Korea), Gabriel Mirescu, Liliana Garneata, and Eugen Podgoreanu (Romania), Boris Bikbov and Natalia Tomilina (Russia), Dr. Faissal A.M. Shaheen, Besher Al-Attar, M.D, and Dr. Haroun Zakaria (Saudi Arabia), Wendy Metcalfe and Jamie Traynor (Scotland), Visnja Lezaic (Serbia), Singapore Renal Registry, National Registry of Diseases Office (Singapore), Jadranka Buturović-Ponikvar, Jakob Gubenšek, Miha Arnol (Slovenia), Razeen Davids (South Africa), Eduardo Martín-Escobar Registro Español de Enfermos Renales (Spain), Pablo Castro de la Nuez (Spain, Andalusia), Jose Ignacio Sanchez Miret and José Maria Abad Diez (Spain. Aragon), Ramón Alonso de la Torre, José Ramón Quirós García (Spain, Asturias), Manuel Arias Rodríguez and Oscar García Ruiz (Spain, Cantabria), Raquel González Fernándes and Carlos Fernández-Renedo (Spain, Castile and León), Gonzalo Gutiérez Ávilla and Inmaculada Moreno Alía (Spain, Castile-La Mancha), Encarnación Bouzas-Caamaño and Jacinto Sánchez-Ibáñez (Spain, Galicia), Manuel Aparicio de Madre & Carlos Chamorro Jambrina (Spain, Madrid), Carmen Santiuste de Pablos and Inmaculada Marín Sánchez (Spain, Region of Murcia), Oscar Zurriaga Llorens, Manuel Ferrer Alamar and Nieves Fuster Camarena (Spain, Valencia), Karl Goran Prütz, Maria Stendahl, Marie Evans (Sweden), Patrice Ambühl and Rebecca Winzeler (Switzerland), Hung-Chun Chen, MD, PhD. and Chiu-Ching Huang, MD (Taiwan), Kearkiat Praditpornsilpa MD, MS, Professor of Medicine Chairman of Thai Renal Replacement Therapy Registy, The Nephrology Society of Thailand (Thailand), Prof. Dr. Gültekin Süleymanlar, Prof. Dr. Nurhan Seyahi, and Prof. Dr. Mehmet Riza Altiparmak (Turkey), Dr. Fergus Caskey and Anna Casula (United Kingdom), María Carlota González-Bedat and Francisco González-Martínez (Uruguay)